RITISH IN EUROPE



You will probably have seen media coverage of the Home Office having recently issued about 100 erroneous deportation letters to EU citizens living lawfully in the UK, an error the gravity of which was such that the Prime Minister had to issue a public apology. As we understand it the error only came to light, or at least was only made public, following a newspaper investigation. Below is a link to the initial Guardian article¹ in case you have not seen it.

Clearly a systematic error on this scale is very troubling indeed, not least for those on the receiving end of these letters. We attach a copy of one which has been circulated in social media, the impact of which on the reader is chilling.

However, our reason for writing to you is not simply to complain about UK maladministration of the present scheme, but to ask the Commission team to focus very closely on this issue in the negotiations. In our view this recent episode supports our case (see our joint response to Round 2) in two respects.

Firstly, it highlights the very serious risks involved in the UK's proposal to replace existing rights of permanent residence with a new immigration status in UK law, even if some EU concepts are imported as part of the new scheme. It was a Secretary of State for Home Affairs who, not so long ago, memorably described the Home Office as "not fit for purpose"². If serious errors like this can be made whilst the UK is still administering a system based on EU freedom of movement rights, what is likely to happen when it is running its own system, having "taken control again"? Additionally, we are concerned that the Home Office is planning to design a new system - for which they asked our engagement at a meeting on the 22nd August - before the negotiations on Citizens' Rights have concluded. While we recognise that the Home Office needs to make preparations to implement the ultimate Withdrawal Agreement, clearly there is a vast difference between designing a system which is *applying* for a status under UK Immigration Law (including criminality checks) on the one hand, and a simplified process which could be rolled out to local council level allowing people to "*certify*" their declaratory rights (RC and PR) on the other hand.

Secondly, as we have already pointed out, recently the UK drastically reduced the appeal rights of those affected by adverse immigration decisions (s.15 Immigration Act 2014), save in the case of EU citizens whose appeal rights were protected by European law. In brief those other than refugees no longer have an unqualified right of appeal, and are only allowed to appeal if they can establish a breach of the European Convention on Human Rights. Not content with this limitation, however, the UK has also sought to limit its courts' freedom to decide what matters go into the human rights balance when its courts are considering the application of the ECHR (see s. 19). The UK has not yet made clear whether it intends to preserve post-Brexit the unqualified appeal rights to which EU citizens are presently entitled.

As the present systematic errors would almost certainly not have come to light had it not been for a newspaper investigation, it is absolutely imperative that the Withdrawal Agreement provides for the retention of the existing unqualified right of appeal for all those claiming post-Brexit, whether in their own right or as a family member, to benefit from the Citizens' Rights chapter of the Agreement.

We ask the Commission to make it a priority to raise these two issues in the negotiations in order to protect citizens from the EU27 who have made their home in the UK.

the3million

British in Europe

¹ https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2017/aug/23/home-office-apologises-for-letters-threatening-to-deport-eu-nationals

²: http://www.politics.co.uk/blogs/2017/08/25/the-home-office-not-fit-for-

purpose; https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2006/may/23/immigrationpolicy.immigration1



A decision has now been taken to remove you from the United Kingdom in accordance with section 10 of the Immigration and Asylum Act 1999 (which applied by virtue of regulations 23(6)(a)/23(6)(c) pursuant to regulation 26(3) and 32(2) of the EEA Regulations).

You are entitled to appeal against this decision. You can lodge your appeal online and pay the fee at https://immigrationappealsonline.justice.gov.uk/IACFees

Yours sincerely,

the

Home Office		Port Ref: HO Ref:			IS.151A(EEA)		
		PO Box 3468 Sheffield S3 8WA Tel: Fax:					
		TI		TO A PERSON LIA on (European Econor			
To:	a jona	una		- 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10		1	
			information av	vailable to me and I an	a satisfied that you	u are either:	
	A)						
		a person v	cho door not h				1.10
OR		And and a second se	Contract of the second s	nave or has ceased to h rea) Regulations 2016.	ave a right to res	ide under the Im	imigration
OR	נ פ מ	(Europear by virtue o may be giv a person w	of regulations 2 en in accordan hose removal with regulatio		erson in respect of the Immigration a unds of misuse of	of whom remova and Asylum Act of a right to resid	al directions 1999 as: le in
	ı a F	(Europear by virtue of may be giv person w ccordance Regulation	en Economic An of regulations 2 en in accordan hose removal with regulations s 2016.	rea) Regulations 2016. 23(6)(c) and 32(2) a period ice with section 10 of the section 2000 and 2000 an	erson in respect of the Immigration a unds of misuse of	of whom remova and Asylum Act of a right to resid	al directions 1999 as: le in
pecifi	ic Statem e specifici	(Europear by virtue of may be giv person w ccordance Regulation	a Economic An of regulations 2 en in accordan hose removal with regulations s 2016. easons: lered a person	rea) Regulations 2016. 23(6)(c) and 32(2) a period ice with section 10 of the section 2000 and 2000 an	erson in respect of the Immigration a unds of misuse of tration (European ver removal unde	of whom remove and Asylum Act of a right to resid n Economic Are r regulation 23(6	al directions 1999 as: de in ea)
ou are ave fai	ic Statem e specifici	(Europear by virtue of may be giv person w ccordance tegulation nent of Re ally consid idence that y You ar Schedu	a Economic An of regulations 2 en in accordan hose removal with regulations 2016. easons: lered a person it you are exer the therefore a p ile 2 to the Im	rea) Regulations 2016. 23(6)(c) and 32(2) a period of the section 10 of the section 10 of the section 26(3) of the Immig	erson in respect of the Immigration a unds of misuse of tration (European ve removal unde the United King b be detained und ending a decision	of whom remova and Asylum Act of a right to resid n Economic Ard r regulation 23(6 gdom. der paragraph 16 n whether or not	al directions 1999 as: de in ea) (0)(a) as you (2) of to give
pecifi ou are ave fai	ic Statem e specifica iled to ev	(Europear by virtue of may be giv person w ccordance tegulation nent of Re ally consid idence that y You ar Schedu	a Economic An of regulations 2 en in accordan hose removal with regulations 2016. easons: lered a person it you are exer the therefore a p ile 2 to the Im	rea) Regulations 2016. 23(6)(c) and 32(2) a period of the section 10 of the is justified on the groon 26(3) of the Immight section 2	erson in respect of the Immigration a unds of misuse of tration (European ve removal unde the United King b be detained und ending a decision	of whom remova and Asylum Act of a right to resid n Economic Ard r regulation 23(6 gdom. der paragraph 16 n whether or not	al directions 1999 as: de in ea) (0)(a) as you (2) of to give

Please Note: Limited Information about you such as relevant medical or behavioural issues will be shared with the relevant parties involved in the removal process where necessary to facilitate your safe removal from the UK.

leave the UK voluntarily. This period of notice does not affect your entitlement to bring an appeal. If you appeal you do not have to leave the United Kingdom while the appeal is in progress. However, you may continue your appeal from outside of the United Kingdom should you wish to REMOVAL After one month's notice has expired, or where applicable, if your appeal remains in DIRECTIONS progress beyond this period and that appeal is unsuccessful, you must leave the United Kingdom. If you do not leave voluntarily, directions will be given for your removal from the United Kingdom to Finland This decision will be deemed to have been served on you on the date of service shown below. Any notice of appeal should reach the Immigration & Asylum Chamber by the deadline for appeal date shown If you are removed from the UK pursuant to 23(6)(a) you may not re-enter the UK within 12 months following that removal unless you are able to provide evidence that upon reentry to the UK the conditions for a right to reside, other than the initial right of residence under Regulation 13 of the Immigration (European Economic Area) Regulations 2016, will be met.

You are allowed a period of one month from the date of service of this notice in which to

Date of service	16/08/2017	
Deadline for appeal:	30/08/2017	

Date 14 August 2017

On behalf of the Secretary of State

by me/



- That the decision is unlawful because it racially discriminates against you;
- That the decision is unlawful because it is incompatible with your rights under the European Convention on Human Rights;
- That the decision breaches rights which you have as an EEA National or member of such a person's family under Union Treaties relating to entry or residence in the UK;
- That the decision is otherwise not in accordance with the law;
- That your removal from the United Kingdom as a result of the decision would:
 - breach the United Kingdom's obligations under the 1951 Refugee Convention;
 - · be incompatible with your rights under the European Convention on Human Rights.

You should not appeal on grounds which do not apply to you. You must also give arguments and any supporting evidence which justifies your grounds.