## **CAUSES:**

- 1. Cause #2015-27321 of Family Court #257 in Harris County, Texas presided by Judge Judy Warne. The amended divorce petition included property issues relating to a corporate business involving Michael J. Johnston and Asyntria and all. It is in this original case where the October 2015 mediation of dispute and abuse arose. Those involved in the October 2015 mediation in addition to myself included Todd Zucker, my corporate lawyer; Edward Trey Bergman, mediator; Todd Frankfurt, attorney for Michael J. Johnston; B. Allen Brady, attorney for Asyntria; and Michael J. Johnston. Jody Meigs, whom I was divorcing, left prior to the start of mediation which should have stopped the mediation as this was a divorce court ordered mediation under property relating to the divorce, and Jody Meigs owned part of this property, and we were divorcing. The reason to continue mediation without a major player remains suspect. Case closed in 2017 with the divorce.
- 2. Cause 2017-73032, Harris County Court 270th, presided by Judge Brent Gamble and now Judge Dedra Davis after the last election...

  Defendant is mediator, Edward Trey Bergman and company, Bergman ADR Group. Requires appeals as the judge "mistakenly" dismissed the case for items presented by my lawyer that he said was not in my response against summary judgment but was actually in the document and on pages 6 and 31.
- 3. Cause 2017-73029, Harris County Court 133rd, presided by Judge Jaclanel McFarland. Defendants are lawyer, Todd Zucker and law firm, Bohreer & Zucker, LLP. Requires appeals as the judge dismissed the case for failing to respond to an "amended" summary judgment that I never saw; yet I responded to the initial summary judgment and all other pleadings within the scheduled time.
- 4. Cause 2018-91649, Harris County Court 165<sup>th</sup>, presided by Judge Ursula Hall. Defendants are Mike Johnston, individually, and D/B/A Asyntria, Inc., Straden Schaden, Inc., and the Johnston Group with possible others to be added. Claims included breach of fiduciary duty, defendant benefited financially from Plaintiff's losses, diverted corporate funds, and misapplication of corporate funds, breached duty of good faith, loyalty, fidelity, duty to safeguard corporate funds, unfair transactions and others..