

Mr. Chris Daniels  
Harris County District Clerk  
[c.daniel@hcdistrictclerk.com](mailto:c.daniel@hcdistrictclerk.com)

June 28, 2018

Re: Possible Document Tampering by Harris County District clerk with screenshots

Dear Mr. Daniels,

I am writing to you about a great concern of mine that I am not sure how to handle in regards to my paperwork being uploaded out of order and made to not be viewable. I also noticed that the person handling the documents in question is also involved with my case as a clerk to the Judge in the 133rd. I'm concerned that this could be document tampering and involves several files within the document. **Could you please confirm the laws regarding this particular situation and document tampering?**

In order to help you analyze the situation, I included some information.

1. On May 31<sup>st</sup>, 2018, I uploaded "Plaintiff's Objection to Mediation and ADR" for cause number 2017-73029 of Court 133<sup>rd</sup> in the same manner and format that I have done previously in loading files. Each time my files online appeared uploaded in the same manner and format including the numbering of the exhibits as I had loaded them. Upon viewing the above documents one week later, I noticed that my files were loaded haphazardly, not labeled as I uploaded them, and appeared manipulated to make the files not readable. The response on the site upon attempting to open the file was that it was loaded in a unreadable format and could not open. This seems very odd as I loaded them as I always did.

2. At the bottom of this page, Picture 1, is a screenshot on the Harris County website of the original upload of "Plaintiff's Objection to mediation and ADR" with upload dated 5.31.2018. This screenshot was taken on 6.6.2018.

a. Notice was made that neither the document or exhibits were viewable on 6.6.2018 upon clicking on the image. Each read "This PDF document might not be displayed correctly" and all appeared blank like the screenshot below. And the reasoning stated indicated that the document was not loaded in the appropriate format. I was very confused to this as each document and exhibit were loaded the same way as previous documents in the same pdf format as before and they loaded wonderfully. All prior uploadings appeared on the Harris County site

in the manner and order that I loaded them with no apparent discrepancy. The clerks have always been very helpful and extraordinarily patient.

3. I hope that I am wrong but I can only think of two scenarios to alter viewing of these documents after I loaded them; although more may be available:

a. Since I loaded the documents correctly as seen in the screenshot, either the documents I loaded were somehow manipulated to not be viewable when reviewed or

b. Someone gained access to the loading of the files and entered the system and changed the files after they were initially loaded to ensure that the files were not visible. I know that you can access this as I have read of clerks in California changing files for a fee to eliminate speeding tickets, altering documents for their benefit, and all and were caught by sign-on review.

c. Even if the above are true, the haphazard loading almost appears intentional. Instead of loading as uploaded, the placement of the files/exhibits look haphazard as seen in the lack of order of exhibits, the misnumbering of exhibits, and exhibits 5o, 6o, and 7o did not fall under the document "Plaintiff's Objection to mediation and ADR". Instead those documents were just filed arbitrarily into the case. See picture 5.

4. My only conclusion falls under an intentional act which I hope is not true, but that I **request for you to research this situation**, knowing the issues that I am fighting in this court, the power of those whom I am fighting in this court and in another court later in the year with the mediator, Edward Trey Bergman, with whom all of my complaints revolve similar issues and the same incident. I believe these people to be powerful and influential in this industry, confirmed by many as such, and may have influenced this clerk either voluntarily or not. I am afraid of what could happen to other documents and my request for recordings of hearings and all in the courtroom itself. I need your help as to what I should do to ensure that my filings are handled carefully by this clerk, what potential issues may arise, and what you can do to help me with this clerk or how to not use this clerk. **I sincerely want to know if this clerk was influenced and by whom so as to tamper with my documents and what you are doing to investigate this issue and how you can protect me, my files and future filings.**

5. Due to this lack of viewable files and exhibits not due to my actions, I reloaded the files and exhibits and noted on the second upload that the documents were the second upload for the reason seen below and were uploaded a second time in the same manner and form as the first upload (picture 4).

6. After the upload of the second set of the same document and exhibits for “Plaintiff’s Objection to mediation and ADR” on 6.7.2018, the documents and exhibits said to be in the wrong format from **the first load suddenly became visible. Of greatest interest is the original document that read to not be readable leading to a second upload, suddenly appeared readable after the second upload.** This is of greatest interest as the second upload stated on the first page of the document “Plaintiff’s Objection to mediation and ADR” that it was the second upload to distinguish from the first upload. **Hence, the first upload was always capable of being viewable, but for some strange and unknown reason, the initial loading of the original document and exhibits were somehow altered to be unable to be read until I loaded the documents a second time.** I find this most interesting and wonder why such care was not taken with the first document upload and why such was done so poorly as to not be viewable or if such were possibly manipulated intently as in document tampering for the benefit of someone or to make a statement for some reason. I then noticed that the clerk, **Evelyn Palmer**, stamped the original documents that failed to be loaded accurately.

7. According to the County Clerk in the press release dated February 26, 2010, “The people entrust the County Clerk with records which are dear to them... and other important documents.” The haphazard manner in which the first upload occurred and most importantly the fact that neither the document, exhibits or any of that upload was visible until the second upload seems to distract from this entrustment. The total number of documents loaded wrong are six and the total manipulated to not be viewable is nine. I believe that those entrusted with loading such important documents seem to have been very knowledgeable in the past and wonder why at this time with these documents that are very revealing would not be treated with just as much care.

#### **Reason for Request and Great Concern over the Immediate Investigation of this Incident**

8. I suppose my greatest concern as to why the clerk manipulated my files, if such happened, lies in the prior issues that I have experienced since filing this case. Since my original filing in October 2017, I experienced events that I have never experienced including received malware from the lawyers one month after filing against them that can completely wipe a computer; a break-in of the pharmacy where I work without drug loss but trashing of the area; one week later a bullet through office window next door to the pharmacy; my home windows unlocked but alarm set without my knowledge; intimidation from a driver who followed and tailed me on hwy 59 at 10:30p from 80mph to 51mph for 8 miles until I finally pulled behind and

prevented him siding me until I was able to lose them with passing of cars and exit, and so on. And now I have what appears to be document tampering of a very upfront and truthful file in regards to why I do not want to go to mediation/ADR and still await the Judge's decision. As such, **I would like to know why this occurred and whether this clerk may have felt intimidated to load or alter these files in any way for any person or group of persons.**


9. Please accept my sincere apologies if I am out of line here with my grave concerns for my case. I am working very hard to keep up in an environment completely alien to me in an effort to fight against what I feel is negligence, manipulation, conspiracy, Fraud on the Court, forgery and more in my lawsuit with documents that I feel support those claims. And although I would like to have a lawyer, lawyer#12 told me that no one will represent me as that would be "legal suicide" as everyone is afraid of Bergman and what he can do to those in this industry. Her words prove amazingly truthful. The appearance and viewability of my documents are important to me as I progress in this fight. When exhibits are not loaded in the format to support the document, the meaning and objectives could be lost as well as the case.

10. Thank you for your attention to this matter. I hope that you are able to clarify the situation and address to me why this clerk felt the need to not apply the same diligence as the other clerks in handling my documents. And I look forward to your suggestions and actions, and opinions on how I can successfully deal with my documents and all whilst this clerk is the clerk of the court where my case is active.

With all Due Sincerity,

/s/ Wendy Meigs, RPh MBA, *pro-se*  
3131 Blackcastle Dr  
Houston, Texas 77068  
[wendymeigs@icloud.com](mailto:wendymeigs@icloud.com)  
cell: 281-798-0780

## Screenshot Picture 1:





(Notice the inability of the document to be displayed on the right. On the left, notice that the exhibits were not labeled as I had labeled them and were not in the order I uploaded them as seen in **Picture 2** below. However, the *second* upload as seen in **Picture 5** is loaded according to how I placed them on the site.)



**Picture 2:** Shown below is the manner I uploaded documents to be placed on Harris County site and sent to Defendants. Compare this to what was actually loaded on **Picture 1**.

		Go To Assist	Show Me How To...
Lead Document			
File Name Objection to ADR and mediation.pdf /s/c/22/152	Description Plaintiff's Objection to mediation and ADR	Security Responses/Replies Objections	Download Original File Court Copy
Attachments			
File Name Exhibit 10 defendants conceal memorandum from plaintiff to let the mediator handle it.pdf/s/c/22/152	Description Exhibit 10 defendants conceal memorandum from plaintiff to let the mediator handle it.pdf	Security Exhibits	Download Original File Court Copy
File Name Exhibit 20 Memorandum that was hidden by defendants and mediator kept hidden to handle it.pdf/2/2/152	Description Exhibit 20 Memorandum that was hidden by defendants and mediator kept hidden to handle it.pdf	Security Exhibits	Download Original File Court Copy
File Name Exhibit 30 Confession email from Bohrer Zucker of abandonment and manipulation at mediation.pdf/2/2/152	Description Exhibit 30 Confession email from Bohrer Zucker of abandonment and manipulation at mediation.pdf	Security Exhibits	Download Original File Court Copy
File Name Exhibit 40 First handwritten mediation agreement without eagles Klaw and other after signature.pdf/2/2/152	Description Exhibit 40 First handwritten mediation agreement without eagles Klaw and other after signature.pdf	Security Exhibits	Download Original File Court Copy
File Name Exhibit 50 Second handwritten mediation agreement after signature other items added. eagles Klaw pg 3 and 4.pdf/2/2/152	Description Exhibit 50 Second handwritten mediation agreement after signature	Security Exhibits	Download Original File Court Copy
File Name Exhibit 60 Printed mediation agreement called draft, very altered, release of liability, never signed.pdf/2/2/152	Description Exhibit 60 Printed mediation agreement called draft never signed.pdf	Security Exhibits	Download Original File Court Copy
File Name Exhibit 70 Doctor note.pdf/s/c/22/152	Description Exhibit 70 Doctor note.pdf	Security Exhibits	Download Original File Court Copy
File Name Verification to objection to mediation.pdf/s/c/22/152	Description Verification to objection to mediation.pdf	Security Affidavits	Download Original File Court Copy
File Name Proposed Order for Judge to sustain plaintiff objection to adr and mediation.pdf/s/c/22/152	Description Proposed Order for Judge to sustain plaintiff objection to adr and mediation.pdf	Security Orders/Judgments	Download Original File Court Copy

**Picture 3:** This shows the Second pdf upload that triggered the original upload to become visible without any change in the upload format of the original.


[Go To Assist](#)
[Show Me How To...](#)


Filing Code	Client Ref #	Filing Description
Proposed Order		Second pdf upload in viewable pdf format for Objectio...

**Filing Details**

<b>Filing Type</b> EFile	<b>Filing Code</b> Proposed Order
<b>Filing Description</b> Second pdf upload in viewable pdf format for Objection to ADR and Mediation	
<b>Comments to Court</b> first objection to mediation and adr pdf was not viewable. this is uploaded to be able to be viewed.	
<b>Filing Status</b> Accepted	<b>Accepted Date</b> 6/7/2018 11:43 AM CST

**Stamped Documents**

This is a collection of the court copies for this filing

[Download](#)

**Lead Document**

File Name	Description	Security	Download
Second PDF upload in same appropriate pdf format for objection to adr.mediation.pdf 157.08 KB	Second PDF Filing in PDF Quified Format Upload to Be Viewable - Plaintiff's Abjection	Responses/Replies /Objections	<a href="#">Original File</a> <a href="#">Court Copy</a>

Picture 4 shows the exact same upload format for the updated as the original except the second upload proved to somehow allow the first upload to be visible. Both uploads are identical in form but both uploads did not produce the same results. The first upload was haphazard, radical in placement, and lacking coherency whilst the second one not so. Both uploads should have produced the same results. Had I not noticed the discrepancy and filed the second upload, I feel that the original filings would have remained hidden and am unsure of the consequences to such.

		Go To Assist	Show Me How To...
File Name	Description	Security	Download
Second Pdf upload in same appropriate pdf format for objection to adr mediation.pdf 7/30/2019	Second Pdf Filing in PDF Quitted Format Upload to Be Viewable - Plaintiffs Abjection to Mediation and ADR.	Responses/Replies Objections	Original File Court Copy
Attachments			
File Name	Description	Security	Download
Exhibit 10 defendants conceal memorandum from plaintiff to tell the mediator handle 11.pdf 7/30/2019	Exhibit 10	Exhibits	Original File Court Copy
File Name	Description	Security	Download
Exhibit 120 Memorandum that was hidden by defendants and mediator kept hidden to handle 11.pdf 7/30/2019	Exhibit 120	Exhibits	Original File Court Copy
File Name	Description	Security	Download
Exhibit 130 Confession email from Bohrer ruler of abandonment and manipulation at mediation.pdf 7/30/2019	Exhibit 130	Exhibits	Original File Court Copy
File Name	Description	Security	Download
Exhibit 40 First handwritten mediation agreement without eagles Klaw and other after signature.pdf 7/30/2019	Exhibit 40	Exhibits	Original File Court Copy
File Name	Description	Security	Download
Exhibit 150 Second handwritten mediation agreement after signature other items added. eagles Klaw pg 3 and 4.pdf 7/30/2019	Exhibit 150	Exhibits	Original File Court Copy
File Name	Description	Security	Download
Exhibit 160 Printed mediation agreement called draft, very altered, release of liability, never signed.pdf 7/30/2019	Exhibit 160	Exhibits	Original File Court Copy
File Name	Description	Security	Download
Exhibit 170 Doctor note.pdf 7/30/2019	Exhibit 170	Exhibits	Original File Court Copy
File Name	Description	Security	Download
Verification to objection to mediation.pdf 7/30/2019	Verification of Wendy Melis	Attachments	Original File Court Copy
File Name	Description	Security	Download
Proposed Order for Judge to sustain plaintiff objection to adr and mediation.pdf 7/30/2019	Proposed Order	Orders/Judgments	Original File Court Copy



**Picture 5** screenshot of all filings shows the discombobulated format of the original objection to ADR versus the filings of others. This disorder is no fault of mine as I did both identically. Could such a displacement and lack of view-ability for the original objection upload have been intentional since the subject matter could be viewed as an intention for the Defendants to conspire in addition to negligence, Fraud on the Court, and assault? Was the clerk influenced to do this and by whom?

201773029 - MEIGS, WENDY M vs. ZUCKER, TODD J (Court 133)

Chronological  
History  
(non-financial)

Print  
All

Summary	Appeals	Cost Statements	Transfers	Post Trial Writs	Abstracts	Parties
Court Costs	Judgments/Events	Settings	Services/Notices	Court Registry	Child Support	Images

\* Note: Only non-confidential public civil/criminal documents are available to the Public. All non-confidential Civil documents are imaged. In Family Cases, select non-confidential documents and all e-filings are available in electronic format (not every document is available for electronic viewing and a document may be filed in the case that is not viewable electronically). In Criminal Cases, select non-confidential documents are available in electronic format (not every document is available for electronic viewing and a document may be filed in the case that is not viewable electronically). **If the case or Civil document you are looking for is not available and should be, please click here to notify Customer Service.**  
You may print and save uncertified copies of documents from the preview window.  
If you are not a litigant in this case, you may visit our Customer Service departments to obtain copies of documents. Confidential or Sealed documents may not be dispensed to public customers.  
If you are a litigant that is a party of this case and would like access to the restricted documents, please click [here](#).

80253612

Second Pdf Filing in PDF Quilfed Format Upload to Be Viewable - Plaintiffs Objection to Mediation and ADR

06/07/2018

7

Add to Basket

80253613

Exhibit 1o

06/07/2018

1

Add to Basket

80253614

Exhibit 2o

06/07/2018

6

Add to Basket

80253615

Exhibit 3o

06/07/2018

4

Add to Basket

80253616

Exhibit 4o

06/07/2018

4

Add to Basket

80253617

Exhibit 5o

06/07/2018

5

Add to Basket

80253618

Exhibit 6o

06/07/2018

17

Add to Basket

80253619

Exhibit 7o

06/07/2018

1

Add to Basket

80253619

Exhibit 7o

06/07/2018

1

Add to Basket

80253621

Proposed Order

06/07/2018

1

Add to Basket

80253620

Verification of Wendy Meigs

06/07/2018

1

Add to Basket

80151216

Plaintiff's Objection to mediation and ADR

05/31/2018

7

Add to Basket

80151218

Exhibit 02

05/31/2018

6

Add to Basket

80151217

Exhibit 01

05/31/2018

1

Add to Basket

80151219

Exhibit 03

05/31/2018

4

Add to Basket

80151220

Exhibit 04

05/31/2018

4

Add to Basket

80151225

Proposed Order

05/31/2018

1

Add to Basket

80151221

Exhibit 05

05/31/2018

5

Add to Basket

80151222

Exhibit 06

05/31/2018

17

Add to Basket

80151224

Verification of Wendy Meigs

05/31/2018

1

Add to Basket

78567794

Defendants' Withdrawal of Rule 91a Motion to Dismiss

02/12/2018

2

Add to Basket

78533869

Plaintiffs First Amended Petition

02/09/2018

46

Add to Basket

78532451

Plaintiff Response to Defendants Motion to Dismiss Baseless Cause of Action

02/06/2018

18

Add to Basket

Picture 6: Position of clerk Evelyn J Palmer of the 133<sup>rd</sup> where my case is located.

129TH DISTRICT COURT (CIVIL)					
832-927-2500	Judge	<a href="#">Hon. Michael Gomez</a>	201 Caroline, 10th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2520	Trial Coordinator	<a href="#">Dee Hall Thomas</a>	201 Caroline, 10th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2500	Clerk	<a href="#">Johnathan Patton</a>	201 Caroline, 10th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2500	Assistant clerk	<a href="#">Paulette Davis</a>	201 Caroline, 10th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2507	Reporter	<a href="#">Jennifer Philli Gajevsky</a>	201 Caroline, 10th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2511	Bailiff	<a href="#">Des Termin</a>	201 Caroline, 10th Fl.	Houston	77002
133RD DISTRICT COURT (CIVIL)					
832-927-2480	Judge	<a href="#">Hon. Jaclanel McFarland</a>	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2483	Trial Coordinator	<a href="#">Betsy Wall</a>	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2480	Clerk	<a href="#">Evelyn Palmer</a>	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2480	Assistant Clerk	<a href="#">Jimmy Rodriguez</a>	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2487	Bailiff	<a href="#">James Koen</a>	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
151ST DISTRICT COURT (CIVIL)					
832-927-2455	Judge	<a href="#">Hon. Mike Engelhart</a>	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2460	Trial Coordinator	<a href="#">Corina Teniente</a>	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2455	Clerk	<a href="#">Vernonica Gonzalez</a>	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2455	Assistant Clerk	<a href="#">Jimmy Rodriguez</a>	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2464	Reporter	<a href="#">Carolyn Coronado</a>	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2459	Bailiff	<a href="#">Victor C. Johnson</a>	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
152ND DISTRICT COURT (CIVIL)					
832-927-2425	Judge	<a href="#">Hon. Robert Schaffer</a>	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2434	Trial Coordinator	<a href="#">Natani Callin</a>	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002

Please keep me informed of your progress in addressing my concerns. The start of the docket already beginning leaves me open to more issues that can occur quickly. Thank you.