

Mr. Chris Daniels
Harris County District Clerk
c.daniel@hcdistrictclerk.com

June 28, 2018

Re: Possible Document Tampering by Harris County District clerk with screenshots

Dear Mr. Daniels,

I am writing to you about a great concern of mine that I am not sure how to handle in regards to my paperwork being uploaded out of order and made to not be viewable. I also noticed that the person handling the documents in question is also involved with my case as a clerk to the Judge in the 133rd. I'm concerned that this could be document tampering and involves several files within the document. **Could you please confirm the laws regarding this particular situation and document tampering?**

In order to help you analyze the situation, I included some information.

1. On May 31st, 2018, I uploaded "Plaintiff's Objection to Mediation and ADR" for cause number 2017-73029 of Court 133rd in the same manner and format that I have done previously in loading files. Each time my files online appeared uploaded in the same manner and format including the numbering of the exhibits as I had loaded them. Upon viewing the above documents one week later, I noticed that my files were loaded haphazardly, not labeled as I uploaded them, and appeared manipulated to make the files not readable. The response on the site upon attempting to open the file was that it was loaded in a unreadable format and could not open. This seems very odd as I loaded them as I always did.

2. At the bottom of this page, Picture 1, is a screenshot on the Harris County website of the original upload of "Plaintiff's Objection to mediation and ADR" with upload dated 5.31.2018. This screenshot was taken on 6.6.2018.

a. Notice was made that neither the document or exhibits were viewable on 6.6.2018 upon clicking on the image. Each read "This PDF document might not be displayed correctly" and all appeared blank like the screenshot below. And the reasoning stated indicated that the document was not loaded in the appropriate format. I was very confused to this as each document and exhibit were loaded the same way as previous documents in the same pdf format as before and they loaded wonderfully. All prior uploadings appeared on the Harris County site

in the manner and order that I loaded them with no apparent discrepancy. The clerks have always been very helpful and extraordinarily patient.

3. I hope that I am wrong but I can only think of two scenarios to alter viewing of these documents after I loaded them; although more may be available:

a. Since I loaded the documents correctly as seen in the screenshot, either the documents I loaded were somehow manipulated to not be viewable when reviewed or

b. Someone gained access to the loading of the files and entered the system and changed the files after they were initially loaded to ensure that the files were not visible. I know that you can access this as I have read of clerks in California changing files for a fee to eliminate speeding tickets, altering documents for their benefit, and all and were caught by sign-on review.

c. Even if the above are true, the haphazard loading almost appears intentional. Instead of loading as uploaded, the placement of the files/exhibits look haphazard as seen in the lack of order of exhibits, the misnumbering of exhibits, and exhibits 5o, 6o, and 7o did not fall under the document "Plaintiff's Objection to mediation and ADR". Instead those documents were just filed arbitrarily into the case. See picture 5.

4. My only conclusion falls under an intentional act which I hope is not true, but that I **request for you to research this situation**, knowing the issues that I am fighting in this court, the power of those whom I am fighting in this court and in another court later in the year with the mediator, Edward Trey Bergman, with whom all of my complaints revolve similar issues and the same incident. I believe these people to be powerful and influential in this industry, confirmed by many as such, and may have influenced this clerk either voluntarily or not. I am afraid of what could happen to other documents and my request for recordings of hearings and all in the courtroom itself. I need your help as to what I should do to ensure that my filings are handled carefully by this clerk, what potential issues may arise, and what you can do to help me with this clerk or how to not use this clerk. **I sincerely want to know if this clerk was influenced and by whom so as to tamper with my documents and what you are doing to investigate this issue and how you can protect me, my files and future filings.**

5. Due to this lack of viewable files and exhibits not due to my actions, I reloaded the files and exhibits and noted on the second upload that the documents were the second upload for the reason seen below and were uploaded a second time in the same manner and form as the first upload (picture 4).

6. After the upload of the second set of the same document and exhibits for “Plaintiff’s Objection to mediation and ADR” on 6.7.2018, the documents and exhibits said to be in the wrong format from **the first load suddenly became visible. Of greatest interest is the original document that read to not be readable leading to a second upload, suddenly appeared readable after the second upload.** This is of greatest interest as the second upload stated on the first page of the document “Plaintiff’s Objection to mediation and ADR” that it was the second upload to distinguish from the first upload. **Hence, the first upload was always capable of being viewable, but for some strange and unknown reason, the initial loading of the original document and exhibits were somehow altered to be unable to be read until I loaded the documents a second time.** I find this most interesting and wonder why such care was not taken with the first document upload and why such was done so poorly as to not be viewable or if such were possibly manipulated intently as in document tampering for the benefit of someone or to make a statement for some reason. I then noticed that the clerk, **Evelyn Palmer**, stamped the original documents that failed to be loaded accurately.

7. According to the County Clerk in the press release dated February 26, 2010, “The people entrust the County Clerk with records which are dear to them... and other important documents.” The haphazard manner in which the first upload occurred and most importantly the fact that neither the document, exhibits or any of that upload was visible until the second upload seems to distract from this entrustment. The total number of documents loaded wrong are six and the total manipulated to not be viewable is nine. I believe that those entrusted with loading such important documents seem to have been very knowledgeable in the past and wonder why at this time with these documents that are very revealing would not be treated with just as much care.

Reason for Request and Great Concern over the Immediate Investigation of this Incident

8. I suppose my greatest concern as to why the clerk manipulated my files, if such happened, lies in the prior issues that I have experienced since filing this case. Since my original filing in October 2017, I experienced events that I have never experienced including received malware from the lawyers one month after filing against them that can completely wipe a computer; a break-in of the pharmacy where I work without drug loss but trashing of the area; one week later a bullet through office window next door to the pharmacy; my home windows unlocked but alarm set without my knowledge; intimidation from a driver who followed and tailed me on hwy 59 at 10:30p from 80mph to 51mph for 8 miles until I finally pulled behind and

prevented him siding me until I was able to lose them with passing of cars and exit, and so on. And now I have what appears to be document tampering of a very upfront and truthful file in regards to why I do not want to go to mediation/ADR and still await the Judge's decision. As such, **I would like to know why this occurred and whether this clerk may have felt intimidated to load or alter these files in any way for any person or group of persons.**


9. Please accept my sincere apologies if I am out of line here with my grave concerns for my case. I am working very hard to keep up in an environment completely alien to me in an effort to fight against what I feel is negligence, manipulation, conspiracy, Fraud on the Court, forgery and more in my lawsuit with documents that I feel support those claims. And although I would like to have a lawyer, lawyer#12 told me that no one will represent me as that would be "legal suicide" as everyone is afraid of Bergman and what he can do to those in this industry. Her words prove amazingly truthful. The appearance and viewability of my documents are important to me as I progress in this fight. When exhibits are not loaded in the format to support the document, the meaning and objectives could be lost as well as the case.

10. Thank you for your attention to this matter. I hope that you are able to clarify the situation and address to me why this clerk felt the need to not apply the same diligence as the other clerks in handling my documents. And I look forward to your suggestions and actions, and opinions on how I can successfully deal with my documents and all whilst this clerk is the clerk of the court where my case is active.

With all Due Sincerity,

/s/ Wendy Meigs, RPh MBA, *pro-se*
3131 Blackcastle Dr
Houston, Texas 77068
wendymeigs@icloud.com
cell: 281-798-0780

Screenshot Picture 1:



(Notice the inability of the document to be displayed on the right. On the left, notice that the exhibits were not labeled as I had labeled them and were not in the order I uploaded them as seen in **Picture 2** below. However, the *second* upload as seen in **Picture 5** is loaded according to how I placed them on the site.)

Picture 2: Shown below is the manner I uploaded documents to be placed on Harris County site and sent to Defendants. Compare this to what was actually loaded on **Picture 1**.

The screenshot shows the eFILE TX COURTS.gov interface. At the top left is the logo for eFILE TX COURTS.gov. To the right are buttons for 'Go To Assist' and 'Show Me How To...'. Below the header, there are two sections: 'Lead Document' and 'Attachments'. Each section contains a table with columns for File Name, Description, Security, and Download options (Original File and Court Copy).

Lead Document			
File Name	Description	Security	Download
Objection to ADR and mediation.pdf /37.22.12	Plaintiff's Objection to mediation and ADR	Responses/Replies /Objections	Original File Court Copy
Attachments			
File Name	Description	Security	Download
Exhibit 10 defendant's conceal memorandum from plaintiff to let the mediator handle it.pdf /37.22.12	Exhibit 10 defendant's conceal memorandum from plaintiff to let the mediator handle it.pdf	Exhibits	Original File Court Copy
Exhibit 20 Memorandum that was hidden by defendant's and mediator kept hidden to handle it.pdf /2.12.12	Exhibit 20 Memorandum that was hidden by defendant's and mediator kept hidden to handle it.pdf	Exhibits	Original File Court Copy
Exhibit 30 Confession email from Bohrer zucker of abandonment and manipulation at mediation.pdf /2.22.12	Exhibit 30 Confession email from Bohrer zucker of abandonment and manipulation at mediation.pdf	Exhibits	Original File Court Copy
Exhibit 40 First handwritten mediation agreement without eagles claw and other after signature.pdf /2.22.12	Exhibit 40 First handwritten mediation agreement without eagles claw and other after signature.pdf	Exhibits	Original File Court Copy
Exhibit 50 Second handwritten mediation agreement after signature other items added. eagles claw pg 3 and 4.pdf /2.22.12	Exhibit 50 Second handwritten mediation agreement after signature	Exhibits	Original File Court Copy
Exhibit 60 Printed mediation agreement called draft. very altered. release of liability. never signed.pdf /2.22.12	Exhibit 60 Printed mediation agreement called draft never signed.pdf	Exhibits	Original File Court Copy
Exhibit 70 Doctor note.pdf /34.02.12	Exhibit 70 Doctor note.pdf	Exhibits	Original File Court Copy
Verification to objection to mediation.pdf /22.27.12	Verification to objection to mediation.pdf	Affidavits	Original File Court Copy
Proposed Order for Judge to sustain plaintiff objection to adr and mediation.pdf /22.27.12	Proposed Order for Judge to sustain plaintiff objection to adr and mediation.pdf	Orders/Judgments	Original File Court Copy

Picture 3: This shows the Second pdf upload that triggered the original upload to become visible without any change in the upload format of the original.

The screenshot shows the EFILE TXCOURTS.gov interface. At the top left is the logo. At the top right are buttons for 'Go To Assist' and 'Show Me How To...'. Below the header is a table with columns for 'Filing Code', 'Client Ref #', and 'Filing Description'. The first row shows 'Proposed Order' and 'Second pdf upload in viewable pdf format for Objectio...'. Below this is a 'Filing Details' section with fields for 'Filing Type' (EFile), 'Filing Code' (Proposed Order), 'Filing Description' (Second pdf upload in viewable pdf format for Objection to ADR and Mediation), 'Comments to Court' (first objection to mediation and adr pdf was not viewable. this is uploaded to be able to be viewed.), 'Filing Status' (Accepted), and 'Accepted Date' (6/7/2018 11:43 AM CST). Below that is a 'Stamped Documents' section with a 'Download' button. At the bottom is a 'Lead Document' table with columns for 'File Name', 'Description', 'Security', and 'Download'.

Filing Code	Client Ref #	Filing Description
Proposed Order		Second pdf upload in viewable pdf format for Objectio...

Filing Details

Filing Type
EFile

Filing Code
Proposed Order

Filing Description
Second pdf upload in viewable pdf format for Objection to ADR and Mediation

Comments to Court
first objection to mediation and adr pdf was not viewable. this is uploaded to be able to be viewed.

Filing Status
Accepted

Accepted Date
6/7/2018 11:43 AM CST

Stamped Documents

This is a collection of the court copies for this filing [Download](#)

Lead Document

File Name	Description	Security	Download
Second PDF upload in same appropriate pdf format for objection to adr.mediation.pdf 157.08 KB	Second PDF Filing in PDF Quified Format Upload to Be Viewable - Plaintiff's Abjection	Responses/Replies /Objections	Original File Court Copy

Picture 4 shows the exact same upload format for the updated as the original except the second upload proved to somehow allow the first upload to be visible. Both uploads are identical in form but both uploads did not produce the same results. The first upload was haphazard, radical in placement, and lacking coherency whilst the second one not so. Both uploads should have produced the same results. Had I not noticed the discrepancy and filed the second upload, I feel that the original filings would have remained hidden and am unsure of the consequences to such.

File Name	Description	Security	Download
Second Pdf Upload in same appropriate pdf format for objection to adr mediation.pdf 2/26/2019	Second Pdf Filing in PDF Quilted Format Upload to Be Viewable - Plaintiffs Objection to Mediation and ADR.	Responses/Replies Objections	Download Original File Court Copy
Attachments			
Exhibit 10 defendants conceal memorandum from plaintiff to tell the mediator handle 11.pdf 2/26/2019	Exhibit 10	Exhibits	Download Original File Court Copy
Exhibit 12o Memorandum that was hidden by defendants and mediator kept hidden to handle 11.pdf 2/27/2019	Exhibit 12o	Exhibits	Download Original File Court Copy
Exhibit 13o Confession email from Bohrer ruler of abandonment and manipulation at mediation.pdf 2/27/2019	Exhibit 13o	Exhibits	Download Original File Court Copy
Exhibit 4o First handwritten mediation agreement without eagles Klaw and other after signature.pdf 2/27/2019	Exhibit 4o	Exhibits	Download Original File Court Copy
Exhibit 5o Second handwritten mediation agreement after signature other items added. eagles Klaw pg 3 and 4.pdf 2/27/2019	Exhibit 5o	Exhibits	Download Original File Court Copy
Exhibit 6o Printed mediation agreement called draft, very altered, release of liability, never signed.pdf 2/27/2019	Exhibit 6o	Exhibits	Download Original File Court Copy
Exhibit 17o Doctor note.pdf 2/26/2019	Exhibit 17o	Exhibits	Download Original File Court Copy
Verification to objection to mediation.pdf 2/27/2019	Verification of Wendy Melgs	Authentic	Download Original File Court Copy
Proposed Order for Judge to sustain plaintiff objection to adr and mediation.pdf 2/27/2019	Proposed Order	Orders/Judgments	Download Original File Court Copy

Picture 5 screenshot of all filings shows the discombobulated format of the original objection to ADR versus the filings of others. This disorder is no fault of mine as I did both identically. Could such a displacement and lack of view-ability for the original objection upload have been intentional since the subject matter could be viewed as an intention for the Defendants to conspire in addition to negligence, Fraud on the Court, and assault? Was the clerk influenced to do this and by whom?

201773029 - MEIGS, WENDY M vs. ZUCKER, TODD J (Court 133)

Chronological History All (non-financial) Print

Summary Appeals Cost Statements Transfers Post Trial Writs Abstracts Parties
 Court Costs Judgments/Events Settings Services/Notices Court Registry Child Support Images

* Note: Only non-confidential public civil/criminal documents are available to the Public. All non-confidential Civil documents are imaged. In Family Cases, select non-confidential documents and all e-filings are available in electronic format (not every document is available for electronic viewing and a document may be filed in the case that is not viewable electronically). In Criminal Cases, select non-confidential documents are available in electronic format (not every document is available for electronic viewing and a document may be filed in the case that is not viewable electronically). If the case or Civil document you are looking for is not available and should be, please click here to notify Customer Service. Purchase Order (0 documents) Print List

You may print and save uncertified copies of documents from the preview window.

If you are not a litigant in this case, you may visit our Customer Service departments to obtain copies of documents. Confidential or Sealed documents may not be dispensed to public customers.

If you are a litigant that is a party of this case and would like access to the restricted documents, please click here.

80253612	Second Pdf Filing in PDF Quified Format Upload to Be Viewable - Plaintiffs Objection to Mediation and ADR	06/07/2018	7	Add to Basket
80253613	Exhibit 1o	06/07/2018	1	Add to Basket
80253614	Exhibit 2o	06/07/2018	6	Add to Basket
80253615	Exhibit 3o	06/07/2018	4	Add to Basket
80253616	Exhibit 4o	06/07/2018	4	Add to Basket
80253617	Exhibit 5o	06/07/2018	5	Add to Basket
80253618	Exhibit 6o	06/07/2018	17	Add to Basket
80253619	Exhibit 7o	06/07/2018	1	Add to Basket
80253619	Exhibit 7o	06/07/2018	1	Add to Basket
80253621	Proposed Order	06/07/2018	1	Add to Basket
80253620	Verification of Wendy Meigs	06/07/2018	1	Add to Basket
80151216	Plaintiff's Objection to mediation and ADR	05/31/2018	7	Add to Basket
80151218	Exhibit 02	05/31/2018	6	Add to Basket
80151217	Exhibit 01	05/31/2018	1	Add to Basket
80151219	Exhibit 03	05/31/2018	4	Add to Basket
80151220	Exhibit 04	05/31/2018	4	Add to Basket
80151225	Proposed Order	05/31/2018	1	Add to Basket
80151221	Exhibit 05	05/31/2018	5	Add to Basket
80151222	Exhibit 06	05/31/2018	17	Add to Basket
80151224	Verification of Wendy Meigs	05/31/2018	1	Add to Basket
78567794	Defendants' Withdrawal of Rule 91a Motion to Dismiss	02/12/2018	2	Add to Basket
78533869	Plaintiffs First Amended Petition	02/09/2018	46	Add to Basket
78532451	Plaintiff Response to Defendants Motion to Dismiss Baseless Cause of Action	02/06/2018	18	Add to Basket

Picture 6: Position of clerk Evelyn J Palmer of the 133rd where my case is located.

129TH DISTRICT COURT (CIVIL)					
832-927-2500	Judge	Hon. Michael Gomez	201 Caroline, 10th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2520	Trial Coordinator	Dee Hall Thomas	201 Caroline, 10th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2500	Clerk	Johnathan Patton	201 Caroline, 10th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2500	Assistant clerk	Paulette Davis	201 Caroline, 10th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2507	Reporter	Jennifer Philli Gajevsky	201 Caroline, 10th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2511	Bailiff	Des Termin	201 Caroline, 10th Fl.	Houston	77002
133RD DISTRICT COURT (CIVIL)					
832-927-2480	Judge	Hon. Jaclanel McFarland	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2483	Trial Coordinator	Betsy Wall	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2480	Clerk	Evelyn Palmer	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2480	Assistant Clerk	Jimmy Rodriguez	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2487	Bailiff	James Koen	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
151ST DISTRICT COURT (CIVIL)					
832-927-2455	Judge	Hon. Mike Engelhart	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2460	Trial Coordinator	Corina Teniente	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2455	Clerk	Vernonica Gonzalez	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2455	Assistant Clerk	Jimmy Rodriguez	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2464	Reporter	Carolyn Coronado	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2459	Bailiff	Victor C. Johnson	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
152ND DISTRICT COURT (CIVIL)					
832-927-2425	Judge	Hon. Robert Schaffer	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002
832-927-2434	Trial Coordinator	Natani Callin	201 Caroline, 11th Fl.	Houston	77002

Please keep me informed of your progress in addressing my concerns. The start of the docket already beginning leaves me open to more issues that can occur quickly. Thank you.