

Present: Mr.Navkiran Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

Learned counsel for the petitioner places reliance on the Arbitration clause 10.1 at page 40 of the paper-book, to contend, inter alia, that once the parties had agreed to the arbitration clause under the Indian Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, the impugned order could not have been passed by the respondent authorities, referring the matter to arbitrator under the provisions of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Development Act, 2006. Thus, the impugned order is an order without jurisdiction.

Notice of motion for 10.11.2016.

Process dasti as well.

In the meantime, operation of the impugned order shall remain stayed till the next date of hearing.

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)**  
**JUDGE**

3.8.2016  
mks