

Public Forum Debate Ballot

Tournament Date:			Tournament Location:		
Round/ Flight:	Room:	Division:	Judge Name: Joshua Ma	Affiliation/ Occupation:	
Resolution/ Topic:					

EVERY round begins with a coin toss; the winning team has the option of choosing *either* the side (pro or con) *or* the speaking order (first or second) in the round; the losing team makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

AFTER the coin toss, record the following (the team on the left speaks first and should sit to the judge's left):

First Team			Second Team		
Code:	A	Side: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pro <input type="checkbox"/> Con	Points	Code:	Non-A
Speaker 1 Name:	Alena		26	Speaker 2 Name:	Mable
Speaker 3 Name:	Alex		27	Speaker 4 Name:	Anna
					26

Rate each speaker: < 20 Unethical/Inappropriate Behavior 20-23 Below Average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above Average 29-30 Outstanding

Winning Team: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pro <input type="checkbox"/> Con	Team/Code: A
--	--------------

- ❖ Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs.
- ❖ Debaters should advocate or reject the resolution in manner clear to the non-specialist citizen judge (i.e., jury). Clash of ideas is essential to debate.
- ❖ Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum.
- ❖ Neither the pro nor con is permitted to offer a plan or counterplan, defined as a formalized, comprehensive proposal for implementation. Rather, they should offer reasoning to support a position of advocacy. Debaters may offer generalized, practical solutions.
- ❖ Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
- ❖ **No new arguments** may be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

Comments to debaters:

Alena: good questions

Good control over crossfire

Alex: Good questions and able to answer questions

Comments to debaters:

Mable: Shared alot of crossfire control with Alena.

Anna had a good final focus and adressed most of the argments pro could not defend

Reasons for Decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

A team had stronger parts during crossfires ad their constructive speech.
Good questions were thrown and hard to answer.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1.....	4 min.
Speaker 2.....	4 min.
Crossfire (1 & 2)*.....	3 min.
Speaker 3.....	4 min.
Speaker 4.....	4 min.
Crossfire (3 & 4)*.....	3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary.....	2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary.....	2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all).....	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus.....	2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus.....	2 min.
2 minutes of Prep Time per side	

* The first question is asked by the earlier speaker.

Submit

Clear Round

Clear Form