Acupuncture for the treatment of allergic rhinitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT

Background: Because acupuncture may modulate the immune system, it has been proposed as a useful treatment for patients with allergic rhinitis (AR). Here, we assessed the evidence for the clinical efficacy of acupuncture for the management of AR patients by performing a systematic review and meta-analysis of the published literatures.

Methods: By searching PubMed, EMBASE, the Cochrane clinical trials database, and the China National Knowledge Infrastructure from 1980 through July 11, 2013, we collected and analyzed the randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of acupuncture for the treatment of AR patients to assess its efficacy and safety.

Results: Thirteen full papers that met our inclusion criteria were included, and a total of 2365 participants, including 1126 as treatment group and 1239 as control group, were enrolled. Compared with control group, acupuncture treatment group exerted a significant reduction in nasal symptom scores (weighted mean difference [WMD]: –4.42, 95% confidence interval [CI]: –8.42 to −0.43, p = 0.03), medication scores (WMD: 1.39, 95% CI: –2.18 to −0.61, p = 0.0005), and serum IgE (WMD: –75.00, 95% CI: –91.17 to –58.83, p < 0.00001). Data relating to Rhinitis Quality of Life Questionnaire (RQLQ) and 36-Item Short-Form (SF-36) component score in included studies were analyzed, which ultimately point to the efficacy of acupuncture treatment in improving quality of life in AR patients. No fatal events were reported in any of the included studies, and no serious systemic reaction, which needed treatment in the hospital, was related to the acupuncture treatment.

Conclusion: Our meta-analysis suggests that that acupuncture could be a safe and valid treatment option for AR patients.

(Am J Rhinol Allergy 29, 57–62, 2015; doi: 10.2500/ajra.2015.29.4116)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Search Strategy

We searched PubMed, EMBASE, the Cochrane clinical trials database, and the China National Knowledge Infrastructure from 1980 through July 11, 2013. The search strategies used the next major keywords: AR, acupuncture, and hay fever. Chinese and English restriction was imposed. The authors independently examined the output generated from the search (title and abstract). All potentially relevant articles were obtained.

The specific inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) randomized controlled trial (RCT); (2) patients with diagnosed AR; (3) administration of acupuncture compared with sham acupuncture, or another comparator treatment group or no treatment group; (4) one of the next clinical outcomes had to be reported: nasal symptom scores, relief medication scores, Rhinitis Quality of Life Questionnaire (RQLQ) score, 36-Item Short-Form (SF-36) Health Survey, total IgE, and safety; and (5) trials that tested other forms of acupuncture (no needle insertion), such as laser acupuncture, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, and moxibustion, were excluded. Acupuncture was defined as the insertion of needles into the skin and underlying tissues at the acupoints to achieve “de qi” (an irradiating feeling considered to indicate effective needling). Sham acupuncture was defined as acupuncture with or without penetration at the acupoints or nonacupoints.

Quality Assessment

The methodological quality of each RCT was assessed according to the Cochrane Collaboration’s tool for assessing risk of bias.

Data Analysis

Outcome data, extracted from the included studies, were entered into RevMan software, version 5.1.0 (The Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK) for statistical analysis. Differences were expressed as consistent conclusions. Whether acupuncture has any apparent effect on AR patients remains unclear.
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weighted mean difference (WMD) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for continuous outcomes. Statistical heterogeneity across trials was assessed with the $\chi^2$ statistic ($p < .1$) and the $I^2$ statistic.13 Heterogeneity across studies was tested by using the $I^2$ statistic, which is a quantitative measure of inconsistency across studies. As a guide, $I^2$ values of 25%, 50%, and 75% correspond to low, medium, and high levels of heterogeneity, respectively.12 For the $\chi^2$ statistic, the heterogeneity test was considered statistically significant if the $p$-value was under 0.1. When a significant heterogeneity was found, a random-effects model was used to calculate the pooled results and 95% CI. Otherwise, a fixed-effects model was applied. Publication bias was assessed by visually inspecting funnel plots.13

RESULTS

Characteristics of the Studies

Our searches identified 174 abstracts of potential relevance, of which 68 were selected for in-depth appraisal of full text papers. Thirteen full papers satisfied our inclusion criteria.14 As shown in Fig. 1, the search strategy with flow diagram is presented per PRISMA guidelines.27 Ten trials were published in English language, three were published in Chinese. The methods, participants, interventions, and outcomes of the included studies are listed in the Table 1. In total, there were 2365 participants: 1126 as treatment group and 1239 as control group. Ten of the included RCTs adopted a parallel group design, two had a cross-over RCT design, and one was a parallel and cross-over trial. Five trials tested seasonal AR, six trials tested perennial AR, and two trials did not specify the type of AR. Twelve RCTs provided acupuncture on a regular basis, at least once weekly. The number of treatment sessions varied from 1 to 30. One RCT performed acupuncture only once.17 A wide range of outcome measures was used, with the most common nasal symptom scores, relief medication scores, RQLQ, and total IgE.

Quality Assessment and Publication Bias

All the included trials mentioned randomization, but only eight of them adequately described methods of random sequence generation.14 Allocation concealment and blinded fashion was clearly stated in nine studies,14-19 and blinding of study subjects was almost universally maintained by use of sham acupuncture. Four studies did not conduct allocation concealment and blinded fashion.20,22-24 Most of the outcome measurements about efficacy in this study were subjective and likely to be influenced by the lack of blinding. Hence, we considered that there are some risks in detection bias. The numbers and reasons for withdrawal or dropout were reported in details in eight trials.14,16,18-20,23,25,26 Quality assessment was shown in Fig. 2. Furthermore, there was no evidence of significant publication bias by inspection of the funnel plots.

Nasal Symptom Scores

Nasal symptom reporting remains the most appropriate end point for the study of AR. Five of the included studies reported nasal symptom scores, recorded in patient diaries, as a primary outcome measure.16,17,19,21,26 Acupuncture group produced significantly greater diminution of nasal symptoms than did control group (WMD: $-4.42$,
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performed by excluding the data of Ng et al. Other three trials were available and analyzed.19,21,25 There was a high degree of heterogeneity between the studies when combined in the meta-analysis (p = .006, $I^2 = 80\%$), which was associated with a nonsignificant trend in favor of the acupuncture group, WMD: $-0.89$ (95% CI, $-2.03$ to $0.25$, $p = .12$) (Fig. 4). Sensitivity analysis was performed by excluding the data of Ng et al. included in the meta-analysis.19 The revised WMD was $1.39$ (95% CI, $-2.18$ to $-0.61$, $p < .001$) (Fig. 5), which showed that the results became significant. Meanwhile, heterogeneity disappeared ($p = .40$, $I^2 = 0\%$).

### RQLQ Score

Three of the included studies reported the QLQ.23,25,26 There was a high degree of heterogeneity between the studies when combined in the meta-analysis ($p < .0001$, $I^2 = 96\%$), which was associated with a nonsignificant trend in favor of the acupuncture group, WMD: $-0.23$ (95% CI, $-0.89$ to $0.43$, $p = .50$). Sensitivity analysis was performed by excluding the data of Brinkhaus et al. that included in the above meta-analysis.23 The revised WMD was $-0.50$ (95% CI, $-0.71$ to $-0.29$, $p < .001$), which meant the results became significant. At the same time, heterogeneity disappeared ($p = .51$, $I^2 = 0\%$).

### Physical Component Score of SF-36

Physical component score of SF-36 evaluated the quality of life which related to one’s physical health. The meta-analysis of two RCTs...
revealed that the acupuncture group was superior to the control group in improving physical health (n = 1403; WMD: 3.17, 95% CI, 1.45–4.89; p = .0003; medium level of heterogeneity: p = .10, I² = 63%).23,25

Mental Component Score of SF-36

Two studies’ data in mental health of SF-36 were included.23,25 The WMD was 2.64 (95% CI, 0.38–4.90, p = .02), indicating a significant trend in favor of the acupuncture group, although medium level of heterogeneity was observed (p = .09, I² = 65%).

Total IgE

Three studies measured changes in serum total IgE.18–20 The WMD was −75.00 (95% CI, −91.17 to −58.83, p < .0001), indicating a significant decrease of total IgE in the acupuncture group. There was no evidence of heterogeneity between studies (p = .38, I² = 0%).

Adverse Events

Ten RCTs evaluated adverse events of acupuncture,14,16,18–21,23–26 and three studies did not.15,17,22 Two of them reported no adverse effects during their study period,14,24 and eight studies reported mild adverse effects, such as needling pain, papules, pruritus, subcutaneous bleeding, dizziness, numbness, and headache.14,18–21,23,25,26 Only two trials reported that the adverse effects of both real and sham acupuncture made study participants drop out because of pain, headache, or drowsiness.18,20 The authors reported that the occurrence was not significantly different compared with sham acupuncture. No fatal events were reported in any of the included studies. No serious systemic reaction, which needed treatment in the hospital, was related to the acupuncture treatment.

DISCUSSION

Nowadays, many AR patients prefer to choosing acupuncture treatment due to the fact that it is more appealing, less invasive,
and less drug like.26,29 Nevertheless, although it is widely practiced worldwide, acupuncture treatment for AR still lacks clear evidence to make definitive recommendations for therapeutic option. One of the previous systematic reviews included seven RCTs and suggested that it was not possible to recommend acupuncture as a proven treatment for AR because of the inadequate published clinical trials.9 The other systemic review25 in 2009 concluded the total number of RCTs included in the analysis and the total sample size were too small to draw firm conclusions about the effectiveness of acupuncture. In the present study, we have included two latest multicenter, randomized, parallel-controlled trials25,26 with high quality to obtain more data for novel meta-analysis and consistent conclusion.

This systematic review found 13 RCTs that satisfied our inclusion criteria. Score representing nasal symptom severity from only five studies and score quantifying concurrent medication use from only three studies were suitable for meta-analyses. Our meta-analysis showed that acupuncture group has superior effect in reduction of both rhinitis symptoms and the requirement for antiallergic medication compared with control group. The subgroup analysis can not be carried out for the limited number of RCTs. However, a few caveats should be taken into account for interpreting the findings of the present meta-analysis. The various populations (e.g., sex, ethnicity and geographical background), the diverse scoring systems, and different acupuncturists and intervention protocol (e.g., number of treatment sessions and treatment duration) adopted may potentially affect our results. These factors may explain the potential risk of bias and heterogeneity. Especially, we considered the high degree of heterogeneity resulted predominantly from the wide variety of scoring systems used across studies, although it is in part compensated for by use of the WMD in the meta-analyses. We consequently feel that standardized scoring systems are essential.

RQLQ, the disease-specific questionnaire designed to measure rhinitis-associated impairments of quality of life, consists of 28 items in the seven domains of sleep, nonnasal/eye symptoms, emotional function, practical problems, nasal symptoms, eye symptoms, and activities.30 SF-36 component scales evaluate the quality of life as it relates to one’s physical and mental health.31 Data relating to RQLQ and SF-36 component score in included studies were analyzed, which ultimately point to the efficacy of acupuncture treatment in improving quality of life in AR patients. The molecular mechanism underlying acupuncture’s pharmacological effect has not been fully understood. Recent study showed that acupuncture treatment exerted immune-modulating effects in AR patients.32 Our meta-analysis of serum IgE levels in three included trials showed a significant decrease of IgE for the acupuncture group compared with the control group. This result showed strong and consistent evidence that acupuncture treatment leads to favorable responses in immunological outcomes, which have been shown to be helpful in trials of proven therapeutic modalities, such as allergen-specific immunotherapy. Although these data are of interest in terms of understanding the pathophysiology of the underlying disease process and the mechanisms of action of the treatment, we acknowledge their clinical significance remains unclear.33

The safety of acupuncture treatment for AR patients should be further noted. In our systematic review, we found that no fatal events were reported in any of the included studies, and no serious systemic reaction, which needed treatment in the hospital, was related to the acupuncture treatment. Only a few of the included studies reported mild adverse effects, such as pain, papules, pruritus, subcutaneous bleeding, dizziness, numbness, and headache. Most adverse events were of mild severity and occurred with similar frequency among patients treated with real acupuncture and sham acupuncture. Relative to drug treatments these adverse effects may be infrequent or even negligible.34–37

CONCLUSION

In summary, our meta-analysis preliminarily suggests that that acupuncture could be a safe and valid treatment option for AR patients. Due to the lack of a standard acupuncture treatment protocol and a standardized scoring system, the conclusiveness of this systemic review and meta-analysis may be limited. Future large-scale, well-designed RCTs on this topic are still required to further validate our preliminary findings and focus on the long-term efficacy of acupuncture.
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