Comparative analysis of the impact of influenza protein PB1-F2 on H5N8 virus pathogenesis in avian and human cell lines



AGENCE NATIONALE DE

Olivier Leymarie¹, Thomas Figueroa², Mariette Ducatez², Bernard Delmas¹, Bruno Da Costa¹, Ronan Le Goffic¹, Romain Volmer², Christophe Chevalier¹

1: VIM, INRA, Université Paris-Saclay, Jouy-en-Josas, 78350, France; 2: Université de Toulouse, ENVT, INRA, UMR 1225, Toulouse, France.



9th European Meeting on Viral Zoonoses, Saint-Raphaël, September 29th – October 2nd, 2019

Over the past years, highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses (HPAIV) from the H5Nx subtype have been responsible for recurrent epizootic diseases in domestic and wild birds, posing not only a serious threat to animals but also to public health. From October 2016 to December 2017, HPAIV H5N8 outbreaks in wild birds and poultry farms occurred in 30 European countries, resulting in massive economic losses, with no human cases reported. France was the most affected country, with 485 outbreaks reported in farms, mainly in the southwestern part of the country.

The viral protein PB1-F2 (polymerase basic 1-frame 2) is a small non-structural protein with a strong polymorphism in sequence and length (between 8 to 101 amino acids)¹. This protein is encoded by a +1 open reading frame (ORF) overlapping the PB1 ORF. PB1-F2 is considered as a virulence factor but its role during the influenza A virus (IAV) infection is controversial. While some studies suggest that PB1-F2 contributes to viral pathogenesis in mammalian host by inhibiting type I interferon induction²⁻⁴, investigations conduct by our group and others indicate that the expression of a functional PB1-F2 (>79 aa) during the infection leads to an exacerbated, and deleterious, inflammatory response in mammalian host⁵⁻⁸. Surprisingly, in avian host, the expression of a functional PB1-F2 reduces the inflammatory response, favors viral spreading and improves the survival of infected animals, indicating a dual role of PB1-F2 depending on the host type⁹⁻¹¹. These observations were corroborated by the analysis of more than 7500 PB1-F2 sequences that highlighted that 8.6% and 38.8% of avian and human strains, respectively, encode a non-functional protein¹. The same phenomenon also occurs in pig, among which 52.7% of isolated strains encode a truncated PB1-F2 is advantageous for IAV propagation in avian host but deleterious in mammalian host

Interestingly, the sequence analysis of an H5N8 strain isolated in the southwest of France/161108h/2016 (H5N8) revealed that the virus encodes a truncated 11 aa-long form of the PB1-F2 protein. Taking advantage of a reverse genetic system developed for the A/duck/France/161108h/2016 (H5N8) virus, we produced i) a mutant virus that have a restored expression of a full-length PB1-F2, and ii) a reassortant virus with a PB1 gene from the A/domestic goose/Poland/33/2016 (H5N8) which encodes a full-length PB1-F2. These viruses allow us to evaluate the impact of a functional PB1-F2 protein on H5N8 virus pathogenesis in avian and human cell lines.







supernatants were collected to evaluate the number of infectious viral particles by plaque assay in MDCK cells.



Conclusions

Our first data shed in light that the H5N8 epizootic outbreak in Europe during 2016-2017 is concurrent with the loss of function of PB1-F2. Interestingly, our results revealed that restoration of a full-length PB1-F2 in an H5N8 virus increases the inflammatory response developed by infected avian cells. Even though the expression of PB1-F2 appears not to alter the viral growth of H5N8 viruses in these cells, we may assume that PB1-F2 could have a deleterious effect on H5N8 propagation in avian host, which may explain, in part, the loss of a functional activity of this protein. Conversely, our study also shows that restoring a functional PB1-F2 in the context of an H5N8 virus leads to a weaker inflammation in human cells. This feature may partly explain why no human cases were reported during the epizootic, even though a significant number of people were in contact with infected animals.

Surprisingly, data obtained in this work are very different from what we had previously reported in avian and mammalian models. To date, no answer can be given to this discrepancy. An approach using reassortant H5N8 viruses expressing PB1-F2 variants from different strains is under way to address this question.

References

W. G. Dundon, Virus Genes, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 363–73, Jun. 2012. M. Schmolke et al., PLoS Pathog., vol. 7, no. 8, p. e1002186, Aug. 2011. Z. T. Varga, A. Grant, B. Manicassamy, and P. Palese, J. Virol., Jun. 2012. [3] **E. Park et al.**, EMBO J., p. e99475, 2019. [4] **R. Le Goffic et al**., PLoS Pathog., vol. 7, no. 8, p. e1002202, Aug. 2011. [5] **O. Leymarie et al**., PLoS One, vol. 8, no. 3, p. e57894, Mar. 2013. [6] J. L. McAuley et al., PLoS Pathog., vol. 9, no. 5, p. e1003392, May 2013. A. Pinar et al., J. Biol. Chem., vol. 292, no. 3, pp. 826–836, 2017. [8] O. Leymarie et al., PLoS One, vol. 9, no. 6, 2014. [9] J. James et al., J. Gen. Virol., vol. 100, no. 3, pp. 414–430, Mar. 2019. [10] J. James et al., J. Gen. Virol., vol. 97, no. 10, pp. 2516–2527, 2016. [11]

E-mail : olivier.leymarie@inra.fr Tel.: (+ 33) 1 40 51 65 74 Unité Virologie et Immunologie Moléculaires, INRA, Université Paris-Saclay, Jouy-en-Josas, 78350, France

