Glial cells: Old cells with new twists
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Summary

Based on their characteristics and function – migration, neural protection, proliferation, axonal guidance and trophic effects – glial cells may be regarded as probably the most versatile cells in our body. For many years, these cells were considered as simply support cells for neurons. Recently, it has been shown that they are more versatile than previously believed – as true stem cells in the nervous system – and are important players in neural function and development. There are several glial cell types in the nervous system: the two most abundant are oligodendrocytes in the central nervous system and Schwann cells in the peripheral nervous system. Although both of these cells are responsible for myelination, their developmental origins are quite different. Oligodendrocytes originate from small niche populations from different regions of the central nervous system, while Schwann cells develop from a stem cell population (the neural crest) that gives rise to many cell derivatives besides glia and which is a highly migratory group of cells.
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Historical background

The glia are the cells in the nervous system (NS) that for many years were thought to only provide support, protection and nutrition and facilitate conduction for the neurons they surround. However, after more detailed studies—and especially in the past 20 years or so—glial cells have also been implicated in important developmental mechanisms, such as guiding migration of neurons in early development, forming the necessary scaffold for neuronal architecture, being critical participants in synaptic transmission, as key regulators of neurotransmitter release, as well as other functions.

The differentiated cells of the NS arise from either a multipotential neuroepithelial cell population that originates from the ectoderm, or from ectodermal specialized cells that form the placodes (Baker and Bronner-Fraser, 1997). Early in development, the ectodermal cells are induced by factors such as Wnts, Pax7, and others to become the neuroectoderm and undergo neurulation (Basch et al., 2006). One important feature of these stem cells is that they become more restricted as they differentiate into more committed cells, until finally they mature into neurons and glia.

When studying glial cell biology, one interesting aspect that has been largely overlooked in neural development is that brains of higher organisms are composed of mostly—nearly 90%—glial cells, rather than neurons; even though neurons are the key elements in NS transmission of information. The common neuron-centered view underlies the assumption that glial cells simply provided support for neurons. The change towards a more accurate understanding of glial cells started in the early 1980s with seminal papers showing their role in neuron–glia interaction (Vernadakis, 1988), midline axon guidance (Klambt and Goodman, 1991), axon–myelin formation (Bunge, 1987; Ranscht et al., 1987), neuronal migration, immunity (Frohman et al., 1989; Stitt et al., 1991), development of organized neuropil structures (Oland and Tolbert, 1989) and their role in forming the blood brain barrier by perinodal astrocytes (Black and Waxman, 1988).

Glial cells were discovered around the mid-1800s by a group of scientists including Rudolf Virchow, Theodor Schwann and Robert Remak. It was the pathologist Rudolf Virchow that coined the term *neuro-glia* (nerve-cement) in 1856 in his book "Cellular Pathology" (Jacobson, 1991). However, his pre-eminence in the field of glial biology is contested by another scientist, Henrich Muller who made the first glial cells drawings and descriptions in the very same year as Virchow’s book was published (the glia were what we now know as Muller cells in the retina). In addition, none other than Camillo Golgi described astrocytes and oligodendrocytes in a book in 1871. It was Michael von Lenhossek who in 1893 introduced the term *astrocyte* in describing the star-shaped cells in the central nervous system (CNS). For an excellent review on the history of the glial cell, see (Kettenmann and Ransom, 2005).

Probably the best known of all glial functions is the formation of myelin, the membranous sheath covering axons and facilitating rapid communication between neurons. It was Robert Remak who started to look at the peripheral nervous system (PNS) and its "medullated fibers"; but it was Virchow again who coined the term *myelin* in 1853, when referring to the fatty sheath surrounding some axons (Jacobson, 1991). The Schwann cell (SC) was termed as such by Louis Ranvier in 1871, and Ramon y Cajal established this name in his monumental work on the NS. Finally, it was Pio del Rio-Hortega who distinguished two other types of non-neuronal cells: oligodendrocytes and microglia. The term oligodendrocyte arose because they had fewer and smaller branches than astrocytes. Rio-Hortega also proposed that these cells, as well as SCs, make myelin; although this theory was not fully accepted until proved by electron microscopy (Jacobson, 1991).

Glial cell development

The development of glial cells is a fascinating field, especially because what seems to be their intrinsic "stem cell-ness" (Bonfanti and Peretto, 2007; Doetsch, 2003a; Gotz, 2003). That is to say: glial cells can give rise to a variety of other neural cell types (Doetsch, 2003b). Nevertheless, there is a clear glial cell lineage for both oligodendrocytes and SCs (Jessen and Mirsky, 2005; Miller, 2002; Wood and Bunge, 1991). The cell lineage of astrocytes is less clearly understood (Liu et al., 2002).

Several critical steps have led to a better understanding of glial cell biology. The first was having good animal model organisms. The most important animal model has been *Drosophila melanogaster*, a prime genetic model organism with a good number of glial mutant phenotypes. The glial phenotype *gcm* ("glial cell missing") has been especially informative. The *gcm* gene encodes for a primary regulator of glial cell determination in *Drosophila*, showing that the division between glial or neuronal fates can be under the control of one single molecule. In *gcm* mutant embryos,
presumptive glial cells are transformed into neurons and when gcm is ectopically expressed, presumptive neurons become glia. This makes gcm a binary switch between neurons and glia (Freeman et al., 2003). The other primary animal model for study of glial cells is the mouse. Currently, there are many strains of mice that carry mutations that range from mild to severe glial phenotypes. The advantage of using mice in glial studies is that it is closer to humans than Drosophila and that can be manipulated by directed mutations that range from a simple rescue-phenotype to the conditional Cre-Lox mutants.

The second critical approach in studying glial cells has been the use of classical in vitro studies with precursor cells. These studies took advantage of using controlled media conditions that favor the development of one or the other cell type and of the existence of specific cell makers that allowed researchers to distinguish precursors from immature and/or mature cells (Barres et al., 1996; Morrison et al., 1999; Shi et al., 1998). Based on such studies, as well as on other developmental studies, we now know of the existence of the progenitor cells for glia (Chandross et al., 1999). Many of these studies had until recently relied heavily on known specific markers and lineage tracing (Bhattacharyya et al., 1991; Brockes et al., 1977; Guerci et al., 1986; Hooghe-Peters et al., 1979; Pernber et al., 2002; Raff et al., 1978).

From such in vivo and in vitro studies, few major subpopulations of committed glioblasts have been defined: the oligodendrocyte precursor cell (OPC), astroblasts, and radial glia cell precursors (Asakura et al., 1996; Cameron-Curry and Le Douarin, 1995; Skoff and Knapp, 1991) in the CNS and the Schwann cell precursor (SCP) in the PNS (Bhattacharyya et al., 1991; Blanchard et al., 1996; Schneider et al., 2001).

Schwann cell development

SCs are the main glia of the PNS, originating from the neural crest. Like the oligodendrocyte, the SC is a highly specialized cell that has evolved into a myelinating cell. Unlike the oligodendrocyte, it is also a guidance partner for growing axons during peripheral development (Thompson and Buettner, 2006; Wanner et al., 2006a; Wanner and Wood, 2002).

The neural crest is a group of cells that originates in the dorsal part of the neural tube, goes through an epithelial to mesenchymal transition, and later disperses – migrating extensively throughout the embryo and differentiating into a wide variety of cell types – giving rise to the PNS (Jessen and Mirsky, 1998).

The origin of the SC lineage involves three main developmental transitions in neural crest cells (Jessen and Mirsky, 1998). The first is the formation of SCPs from actively migrating neural crest cells. Secondly, the formation of immature SCs from the precursors. Finally, the differentiation of the embryonic SCs into a myelin and non-myelin-forming SC. This is illustrated in Figure 1.

Whether a SC has a myelin-forming or a non-myelin-forming phenotype, both varieties of SCs are neural-crest derived and descend from a common precursor in the dorsal neural tube. This is quite a distinct developmental pathway from the oligodendrocyte, which originates from different populations, depending on the location of the precursor neuroblast. The regulation of the final cell fate of a SC is determined, to a certain extent, by the axons with which they find themselves in contact with. SC progenitors migrate and proliferate, as well as along pre-existing axonal tracts, during embryonic development (Wanner et al., 2006b). What determines if a cell will become a myelinating one or not depends ultimately on axonal signals received during their close contact as they enter peripheral tissues.

The importance of SCs for neuron development is underscored by the neuropathies seen in mice or humans with mutations in SC or myelin genes. For example, “trembler” mice have a mutation in the peripheral myelin protein 22 (PMP22) and show sensory neuronal loss (Robertson et al., 1997). Patients with Charcot–Marie–Tooth neuropathy have also axonal damage, thus prompting the hypothesis that SCs provide a first line of axonal neuroprotection (Nave et al., 2007). This suggestion is important because SCs also are known to secrete inflammatory cytokines and neurotrophic factors critical in neuronal survival (Koski, 1997).

Differentiation and maturation

One of the most critical problems in the biology of the neural crest is what determines the fate of these cells and how soon these cells become committed to a specific fate. There is some evidence indicating that at least some neural crest cells enter the glial lineage quite early. The most relevant results come from knockout mice lacking neuregulin or the receptors ErbB2 and ErbB3. Neuregulins, a family of membrane-bound and diffusible extracellular proteins are required for the differentiation of the SC lineage, playing
critical roles at multiple stages in SC development (Garratt et al., 2000; Lyons et al., 2005; Woldeyesus et al., 1999). Mice deficient in neuregulin-1 (NRG1) show a significant reduction in the number of SCP by embryonic day 10.5 (E10.5) (Meyer and Birchmeier, 1995), a phenotype that is shared by the ErbB2 and ErbB3 knockout animals (Riethmacher et al., 1997). Importantly, these findings are further supported by studies in vitro, which have shown that NRG1 biases the differentiation of neural crest stem cells toward a glial cell fate (Shah and Anderson, 1997; Shah et al., 1994). In addition to being a trophic and differentiation factor, NRG1 can also regulate myelin sheath thickness by inducing hypermyelination when over-expressed and hypomyelination when its expression is reduced (Michailov et al., 2004). Another piece of evidence of an early commitment to the glial cell lineage is the expression of a novel protein called Seraf (Wakamatsu et al., 2004) by a sub-population of neural crest cells from the earliest stages of their migrations until the most advanced ones (see Figure 2).

As development proceeds, axon bundles are progressively subdivided and segregated by pre-myelinating SC processes, and SC and axon establish a 1:1 ratio relationship prior to myelination (Reynolds and Woolf, 1993; Yao et al., 1990). In the rodent sciatic nerve during the first week of postnatal life, pre-myelinating SCs begin to myelinate their associated axons, together with a high level transcription of myelin-specific genes and a down-regulation of immature SC genes (Trapp et al., 1988; Zorick et al., 1996). This is in contrast with non-myelinating SCs, which are not differentiated from immature SCs until the second postnatal week, and even then continue to express genes in a manner characteristic of immature cells.

The formation of the SC lineage involves diverse transcription factors that orchestrate their different stages of development (Mitchell et al., 1991). There are three main ones: Krox-20, Oct-6 and Sox10. It is clear from knockout mice studies that the zinc finger protein Krox-20, the Sox10 and the POU domain protein Oct-6, are very important for the transition of immature SCs to myelin-forming ones, since knockout mice for these three genes shows severe alterations of myelin: lack of myelination when Krox-20 is knocked out (Topilko et al., 1997), severe deficits in the number of SC when Sox10 is knocked out (Herbarth et al., 1998; Topilko et al., 1994) or severely delayed myelination when Oct-6 is knocked out (Bermingham et al., 1996). Oct-6 mRNA and protein can be detected in SCs, rising to a peak in early postnatal life (Blanchard et al., 1996). In contrast, Krox-20 levels rise sharply between E13 and E15 in mouse nerves – the point at
which the transition from precursors to SCs is occurring. The POU transcription factor Oct-6, like Krox-20, is also responsible for myelination. The key difference between the two transcription factors is that Krox-20 is found only in myelinating cells, whereas Oct-6 is found in all SCs (Jessen and Mirsky, 2002; Jessen and Mirsky, 2005). Krox-20 expression continues in myelin-forming cells, but not in mature non-myelin-forming cells, which express a different zinc finger transcription factor, Krox-24. Krox-24 and Krox-20 play antagonistic roles during the development of the SC lineage (Topilko et al., 1997). Krox-24 is strongly activated in most SCs at the time of birth. During myelination of the PNS, Krox-24 is down-regulated in myelinating SCs, while it is maintained in non-myelinating cells. In contrast, Krox-20 expression is maintained in myelinating cells (Decker et al., 2006; Leblanc et al., 2005). In summary, Krox-20 expression is observed only in mature SCs and its continued expression requires axonal signaling. However, Krox-24 is expressed initially in SCPs and expression continues after birth.

Of the known transcription factors involved in neural crest and glia development, Sox10 is probably the most important because of its role in regulating development of peripheral glial cells. Sox10 is expressed by the migrating neural crest from the moment they delaminate from the neural tube and expression remains later on in the SCs only (Britsch et al., 2001; Kuhlbrodt et al., 1998). Sox10 expression is required for early survival and migration of neural crest and the differentiation of melanocytes and SCs (Hagedorn et al., 2000; Paratore et al., 2001). Because Sox10 regulates ErbB3 expression, neuregulin signaling may be affected at the level of the receptor (Britsch et al., 2001).

Figure 2. Schwann cell precursor during chicken development. Schwann cell precursors (SCP) were visualized by in situ hybridization using a probe specific to Seraf. SCP can be observed from the very early stages of neural crest development (arrows in A–C). At later stages of development (C, D), when mature Schwann cells are present, Seraf still labels these cells along forming nerves (arrowheads in C point to spinal nerves and in D points to hindlimb nerve plexus). Notice the distinct Seraf pattern in Figure 3C; arrows show migrating neural crest cells positive for Seraf, while arrowheads show staining of spinal nerve). HH = Hamburger and Hamilton developmental stages.
The myelin-forming SCs synthesize a set of adhesive molecules that allows the formation of compact myelin (myelin-associated glycoprotein (MAG), protein zero, (P0), myelin basic protein (MBP)). In contrast, non-myelinating SC invest multiple small axons and express glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), low-affinity nerve growth factor receptor (NGFR), neural cell adhesion molecule (NCAM) and growth-associated protein 43kd (GAP-43), but none of the myelin-specific markers (Mirsy et al., 2001; Mirsky et al., 1996). SC can regulate their own survival because both myelinating and non-myelinating SCs can de-differentiate into immature SCs after axonal interaction ceases (Bhatheja and Field, 2006). Mature SCs can survive in the absence of neurons, whereas immature SCs depend on axonal signals (Jessen and Mirsky, 2005). Mature SCs are able to block apoptosis by participating in an autocrine circuit by releasing growth factors, including insulin-like growth factors (Cheng et al., 2000), platelet derived growth factor-BB (PDGF-BB) (Eccleston et al., 1990) and neurotrophin-3 (NT-3) (Bhatheja and Field, 2006; Britsch et al., 2001; Meier et al., 1999; Porter et al., 1987). This autocrine circuit is not seen in precursor or immature SCs (Jessen and Mirsky, 2005).

The main role of SCs, like the oligodendrocytes in the CNS, is to make myelin. PNS myelin is mostly dependent on P0. A member of the immunoglobulin superfamily, P0 is the major myelin membrane protein in the mammalian PNS. P0 is present in a sub-population of migrating neural crest cells in chicks (Bhattacharyya et al., 1991) and rodents (Lee et al., 1997). This myelin protein was considered a myelin-restricted protein, made by myelin-forming cells alone, as a part of a glial-specific response to myelinating-inducing axonal signals (Bray et al., 1981; Lemke and Chao, 1988). However, research with better antibodies and transgenic mice carrying a reporter gene for P0 showed that it is expressed not only by immature SCs, but also by the SCP (Bhattacharyya et al., 1991) and neural crest cells (Hagedorn et al., 1999; Morrison et al., 1999).

The relationship between P0 expression in neural crest cells and as a true marker of entry to other crest lineages has not been fully examined. This research is important because studies looking for neural crest stem cells have shown both a P0-positive and a P0-negative population of stem cells (Hagedorn et al., 1999; Morrison et al., 1999). There are thus stem cells that display markers of true glial cells while being true stem cells. Similar kinds of cells have been found in the subventricular zone (SVZ) (Doetsch, 2003b); thus, it is not improbable that peripheral glia, which originate from a true stem cell population (the neural crest) can give rise to a stem cell population with peripheral fate restrictions. Nevertheless, the question remains as to whether these cells are true glial cells that can revert to a stem cell phenotype, or are stem cells that happen to also express a glial cell marker. We will not be able to answer this question properly until we fully understand what makes stem cells different, in their proteome, from other cells.

Oligodendrocytes development

Oligodendrocytes are the myelin-forming cells in the CNS (Figure 3). These cells originate mainly from two types of precursors: the OPCs nestled in the SVZ in the brain and a group of Sox10/Olig1-positive cells in the ventral spinal cord (Liu et al., 2002; Stolt et al., 2006; Timsit et al., 1995).

Oligodendrocyte precursors develop through a series of stages that have been characterized by their distinct cell morphology and the expression of cell surface proteins: the migratory OPC is typically a PSA-NCAM-positive cell that also expresses a ganglioside recognized by the A2B5 antibody (Gard and Pfeiffer, 1990; Shi et al., 1998). These precursor cells are extremely dependent on the presence of PDGF for proliferation and can be identified because they express high levels of the PDGF receptor (Baron et al., 2002; Barres et al., 1992) while surrounding neurons secrete PDGF (Ellison et al., 1996). The importance of this neurotrophin in oligodendrocyte development has been corroborated by the results from PDGF knockout mice, which show a severe reduction in the numbers of OPCs (Fruttiger et al., 1999). Eventually, the OPCs reach their target regions and mature into myelinating cells and start expressing classic myelin proteins, proteolipid protein (PLP), MBP and MAG.

For many years, the origin of the spinal cord oligodendrocyte remained a mystery because of the lack of early oligodendrocyte-specific markers. Two major steps came from three labs: first, Bill Richardson showed in the early 1990s that a small group of PDGF positive cells gave rise to OPC (Pringle et al., 1992). Then David Anderson at Caltech and David Rowitch at Harvard simultaneously reported that a small group of cells in the presumptive motor neuron area, are positive for the bHLH factor olig2 (Rowitch et al., 2002). This group of cells divides and migrates radially to cover the spinal cord later in development (Talbott et al., 2005; Zhou and Anderson, 2002). That olig genes...
are critical for oligodendrocyte development was further supported by the absence of spinal cord oligodendrocytes in the olig1/olig2 double knockout mice (Zhou and Anderson, 2002).

Probably the best-known OPC population is the one initially known as the oligodendrocyte-astrocyte type-2 (O-2A) progenitor cells, originally isolated from the postnatal rat optic nerve and subsequently from the postnatal cerebellum, cortex, brain stem and spinal cord (Lee et al., 2000). These OPC cells were observed to have a default pathway of differentiation into oligodendrocytes and this differentiation could be modulated by growth factors (Collarini et al., 1992; McMorris and McKinnon, 1996). For many years, it was believed that they could also give rise to astrocytes type-2 glial cells (Raff et al., 1983). However, because upon transplantation these OPC cells differentiate only into myelinating oligodendrocytes (Groves et al., 1993), most scientists have assumed that the type-2 astrocyte generated in such studies was very likely an in vitro artifact induced on the precursor by the trophic factors present in the media (Franklin et al., 1995; Sawamura et al., 1995). What is more important regarding these precursors is that the cells formerly known as O-2A will not differentiate into neurons under any culture conditions tested; in other words, OPC is a true glial precursor.

The current view is that cortical oligodendrocytes in rodents arise from the cortical subventricular zone (SVZ) after birth; however, recent data from Richardson’s group suggest that many forebrain oligodendrocyte progenitor cells (OPCs) are specified much earlier (between E9.5 and E13.5 in the mouse) in the ventricular zone of the ventral forebrain under the control of sonic hedgehog (Shh) and then migrate into the cortex (Ivanova et al., 2003) and that even caudal OPC can compensate loss of cortical oligodendrocytes (Kessaris et al., 2006).

As stated for SCs, oligodendrocytes are more than just a myelinating cell. There are quite a number of mutations of genes affecting oligodendrocyte development and differentiation that cause serious neurological disorders. Probably the best known is Pelizaeus–Merzbacher disease, which is a rare, progressive, degenerative CNS disorder in which coordination, motor abilities and intellectual function deteriorate. The best model is a mouse strain referred as the “Jimpy” mice that carry a mutation in PLP protein (Nave et al., 1987). PLP also acts in a paracrine mode to regulate neuronal survival. Co-culture of neurons with non-glial cell lines that overexpress native PLP but not DM20 shorts survival of neurons (Boucher et al., 2002). This finding is mimicked in vivo, where either modest over-expression of native PLP or its absence leads to axonal abnormalities and neuronal death (Griffiths et al., 1998).

Differentiation and maturation

The ability of multipotent cells to differentiate into committed glioblasts and further differentiation of these glioblasts into differentiated/mature glial cells is mediated by transcription factors that
Sox10 development: one is the transcription factors critical for oligodendrocyte development. Thus, neural basic helix–loop–helix (bHLH; i.e., Olig1 and Olig2, Mash1), associated inhibitory HLH (i.e., Id2 and Id4), high-mobility group domain (i.e., Sox10), and homeodomain (i.e., Nkx2.2) transcription factors have all been directly implicated in oligodendrocyte development; from lineage specification through their progressive stages of maturation until myelination. 

Part of the complexity derives from research in recent years, which revealed a complex regulatory network of transcription factors in oligodendrocyte development. Thus, neural basic helix–loop–helix (HLH; i.e., Olig1 and Olig2, Mash1), associated inhibitory HLH (i.e., Id2 and Id4), high-mobility group domain (i.e., Sox10), and homeodomain (i.e., Nkx2.2) transcription factors have all been directly implicated in oligodendrocyte development; from lineage specification through their progressive stages of maturation until myelination. 

The other group of critical glial genes, expressed from very early in the developing neural tube is the Olig genes. To answer the discrepant developmental questions regarding the role of Olig genes during development, Rowitch and co-workers generated null mutations of Olig1 and Olig2. Their results further confirmed that Olig genes are necessary during CNS development, especially for the generation of oligodendrocytes. However, observation of their knockout animals lead to the surprising conclusion that the two Olig genes, though structurally similar and coordinately expressed, encode proteins with quite distinct biological capabilities. Olig2, the "primordial" Olig gene, plays prominent roles in the developing spinal cord where it is essential for oligodendrocyte and motor neuron specification. Olig1 is not required for motor neuron development, though it promotes formation and maturation of oligodendrocytes. A striking feature of the Olig null phenotypes is that astrocyte formation proceeds normally in mice that fail to develop oligodendrocytes. This observation, together with fate mapping analysis of Olig-expressing cells, challenges the view that oligodendrocytes and astrocytes arise exclusively from a glial-restricted precursor (Lu et al., 2001, 2002).
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Development of myelin-forming oligodendrocytes in the CNS is dependent on Sox10. No myelin is generated upon transplantation of Sox10-deficient neural stem cells into wild-type hosts – showing a permanent, cell-autonomous role for Sox10 in oligodendrocyte differentiation and that Sox10 directly regulates myelin gene expression in these cells (Stolt et al., 2004; Woodruff et al., 2001). When looking at mice mutant for single or combined Sox genes, the picture that emerges is that Sox9 is involved in oligodendrocyte specification, whereas Sox10 is required for terminal differentiation. Meanwhile, Sox8-deficient mice, which exhibit only a delay in the oligodendrocyte terminal differentiation of oligodendrocytes, highlights the importance of redundancy of other Sox genes in glial development (Stolt et al., 2004).

The other group of critical glial genes, expressed from very early in the developing neural tube is the Olig genes. To answer the discrepant developmental questions regarding the role of Olig genes during development, Rowitch and co-workers generated null mutations of Olig1 and Olig2. Their results further confirmed that Olig genes are necessary during CNS development, especially for the generation of oligodendrocytes. However, observation of their knockout animals lead to the surprising conclusion that the two Olig genes, though structurally similar and coordinately expressed, encode proteins with quite distinct biological capabilities. Olig2, the "primordial" Olig gene, plays prominent roles in the developing spinal cord where it is essential for oligodendrocyte and motor neuron specification. Olig1 is not required for motor neuron development, though it promotes formation and maturation of oligodendrocytes. A striking feature of the Olig null phenotypes is that astrocyte formation proceeds normally in mice that fail to develop oligodendrocytes. This observation, together with fate mapping analysis of Olig-expressing cells, challenges the view that oligodendrocytes and astrocytes arise exclusively from a glial-restricted precursor (Lu et al., 2001, 2002).

Oligodendrocytes not only depend on the specific expression of certain transcription factors, but their development depends on the tightly controlled timing of expression of certain maturation inhibitors, like BMP or Id2 (See et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2001), the expression of specific receptors, like erbB4 (Sussman et al., 2005) or Notch. The story of Notch in oligodendrocyte development is still a controversial one. While Barres and co-workers found that oligodendrocyte differentiation is powerfully inhibited by activation of the Notch pathway (Wang et al., 1998), studies with zebrafish mutants showed that constitutive Notch activity could promote formation of excess OPCs (Appel et al., 2001; Park and Appel, 2003). The in vitro study determined that Notch receptor activation inhibits OPCs from differentiating into oligodendrocytes, although it does not affect the ability of the cells to survive and divide in response to

act at specific stages in the developmental process (Kinameri and Matsuoka, 2003). These transcription factors are induced by signaling from receptors stimulated by another group of specific trophic factors and/or morphogens (Augustine et al., 1993; Mehler et al., 1997).

PDGF has been identified as an important growth factor for both the proliferation of glial precursors and differentiation of oligodendrocytes (Collarini et al., 1992; Raff et al., 1988; Shi et al., 1998). Triiodothyronine, Shh, BMPs, cAMP retinoic acid and neuregulin are other factors that can induce/generate oligodendrocytes (Canoll et al., 1996; Mehler et al., 2000; Orentas et al., 1999). While neuregulin is required for maintenance and survival of oligodendrocytes, both cAMP and retinoic acid regulate the differentiation of oligodendrocyte precursors into more mature stages (Noll and Miller, 1994).

The development of oligodendrocytes is orchestrated by an extremely complex chain and interdependence of transcription factors (see Nicolay et al., 2007 for an excellent review). Adding more complexity to this picture is the fact that the function of most of these transcription factors varies substantially by brain region. In other words, not all oligodendrocytes seem to follow the same path in their development (Menn et al., 2006). This phenomenon probably explains the discrepancy in the conclusions by glial researchers in the past 15 years over which factors are required, and where and when, for oligodendrocyte development and maturation.

The other group of critical glial genes, expressed from very early in the developing neural tube is the Olig genes. To answer the discrepant developmental questions regarding the role of Olig genes during development, Rowitch and co-workers generated null mutations of Olig1 and Olig2. Their results further confirmed that Olig genes are necessary during CNS development, especially for the generation of oligodendrocytes. However, observation of their knockout animals lead to the surprising conclusion that the two Olig genes, though structurally similar and coordinately expressed, encode proteins with quite distinct biological capabilities. Olig2, the "primordial" Olig gene, plays prominent roles in the developing spinal cord where it is essential for oligodendrocyte and motor neuron specification. Olig1 is not required for motor neuron development, though it promotes formation and maturation of oligodendrocytes. A striking feature of the Olig null phenotypes is that astrocyte formation proceeds normally in mice that fail to develop oligodendrocytes. This observation, together with fate mapping analysis of Olig-expressing cells, challenges the view that oligodendrocytes and astrocytes arise exclusively from a glial-restricted precursor (Lu et al., 2001, 2002).

Oligodendrocytes not only depend on the specific expression of certain transcription factors, but their development depends on the tightly controlled timing of expression of certain maturation inhibitors, like BMP or Id2 (See et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2001), the expression of specific receptors, like erbB4 (Sussman et al., 2005) or Notch. The story of Notch in oligodendrocyte development is still a controversial one. While Barres and co-workers found that oligodendrocyte differentiation is powerfully inhibited by activation of the Notch pathway (Wang et al., 1998), studies with zebrafish mutants showed that constitutive Notch activity could promote formation of excess OPCs (Appel et al., 2001; Park and Appel, 2003). The in vitro study determined that Notch receptor activation inhibits OPCs from differentiating into oligodendrocytes, although it does not affect the ability of the cells to survive and divide in response to
stimulation (Wang et al., 1998), thus implying that Notch receptor activation is not mitogenic per se. Apparently, the signaling role of Notch acts to maintain subsets of ventral spinal cord precursors which are later specified to become oligodendrocyte (Park and Appel, 2003). The in vivo study found that zebrafish embryos deficient for Notch activity failed to maintain proliferative precursors and produced excess early born neurons at the expense of later-forming neurons and glia (Appel et al., 2001). The difference between both well-known studies is a classic example of apparent contradiction when comparing in vivo versus in vitro observations. Perhaps oligodendrocytes, like other cells, change their trophic need when placed in culture, thus explaining why Notch seem to be capable of keeping them in a non-dividing, non-differentiated stage in vitro, while stimulating them to divide in vivo.

Recently, another less well-known transcription factor Yin Yang 1 (YY1), has been shown to be yet another critical regulator of oligodendrocyte progenitor differentiation. Lack of YY1 will arrest differentiation of oligodendrocyte progenitors after they exit from the cell cycle, thus preventing their further maturation. Therefore, YY1 is an essential component of the transcriptional network regulating the transition of oligodendrocyte progenitors from cell cycle exit to differentiation (He et al., 2007).

In summary, oligodendrocytes do not seem to be as homogeneous as SCs in respect to the kind of molecular mechanisms that they use during development and differentiation. This is probably due to their diverse developmental origins: not all oligodendrocytes come from the same neuroblast populations and CNS regions. Thus, although they will all reach a final identical specificity – i.e. all mature oligodendrocytes seem to exhibit the same molecular profile – these cells are different. Their developmental mechanisms are distinct from each other, depending on where they originate and at what point they are along their differentiation pathway.

The myelin question

Despite their different developmental origin and biology, SCs and oligodendrocyte share one of the most interesting cell functions: myelin formation. Myelin is a complex structure consisting of consecutive layers of plasma membrane wrapped around an axon to insulate it. Synthesis depends on a delicate orchestration of molecules responsible for the build-up of each of its parts. Interestingly, it is in their myelin composition that oligodendrocytes and SCs take separate pathways. Thus, this distinction poses an interesting evolutionary question: how have two very different cells developed the same structure using different molecules?

Both SCs and oligodendrocytes have a different process for myelination. SCs initially wrap their membrane around several axons, and eventually each cell segregates to wrap around one axon segment. Oligodendrocytes extend cell processes that reach out to axons and wrap around them. Thus, each myelinating oligodendrocyte can wrap as many as 10–20 axonal segments, while SCs only wrap one axonal segment at a time.

SCs use the following myelin-specific proteins: P0 glycoprotein, MBP, PMP22kd (PMP-22), MAG and cyclic nucleotide protease (known as CNPase) (Lemke, 1993; Lemke et al., 1990). Oligodendrocytes, like SCs, express MBP and MAG, but their main compact myelin component is PLP. The relative importance of each of these molecules has been further proven by studies with mice deficient in each of these molecules. Thus, as expected, lack of P0 in humans and mice causes demyelination in the CNS (Giese et al., 1992; Su et al., 1993), while mutations of the P0 gene have been shown to cause Pelizaeus–Merzbacher disease, spastic paraplegia type 2 and demyelinating disorders in a range of animal species (Garbern et al., 1997; Griffiths et al., 1995; Shy et al., 2003).

Both of these proteins are responsible for the compaction of the intraperiod line of myelin which corresponds to two extracellular layers of plasma membranes adhering in a zipper manner (Shapiro et al., 1996). The major dense line of myelin, which corresponding to two intracellular/cytoplasmic sides of plasma membrane removed of any cytoplasm, depends on MBP. This has been confirmed in “shiverer mice” which are mutant for MBP (Allinquant et al., 1991).

Studies looking at the evolution of myelin have focused on a variety of questions: where did myelin first appear: PNS or CNS? Which structural molecules first appeared and provided proper membrane compaction? Why is there a close association between the apparition of a hinged-jaw and the myelin sheath? Answers to these and other questions will help us understand better the many pathologies of myelin in humans.

Several facts underscore the importance of studying this major evolutionary step: (1) in elasmobranches, oligodendrocytes in the CNS use P0 protein instead of PLP as their main myelin adhesive protein (Yin et al., 2006). (2) Lower vertebrates, like earthworms, lampreys and hagfish...
have structures that resemble myelin: glial cells loosely wrapped around axons. It is not known how efficient these structures are in insulating the neurons, but they are present in these organisms and likely pose an advantage to them, though they do not increase significantly nerve conduction (Bullock et al., 1984; Zalc and Colman, 2000). (3) Copepods, which are invertebrates, have myelin (Davis et al., 1999). Thus, it seems that what evolved first was the “structure” per se of plasma membrane wrapping an axon, and this was followed by the “method” of using different adhesive molecules that make possible the tight adhesion between two plasma membrane interfaces.

Conclusion

The glial cells are probably the most versatile cells in our body based on their characteristics and function: migration, neural protection, proliferation, axonal guidance and trophic effect. In addition, SCs (or their precursors) have recently been suggested to have stem cell-like properties (Morrison et al., 2000). The importance of this newly discovered stem cell population is underscored by the physical symptoms of patients suffering from glial pathologies such as multiple sclerosis, Charcot–Marie–Tooth syndrome and gliomas. In order to alleviate or prevent these conditions, it is critical that we understand their development and biology.
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