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1. Introduction: The historical origins of mindfulness meditation.

The terms “medicine” and “meditation” both derive from the Latin verb *mederi* that means “to cure” and “to heal”. Indeed, meditation is a practice that aims to cure physical, psychological and spiritual suffering. For this reason, in recent decades meditation, developed mainly in the spiritual traditions of the East, has been used increasingly in the medical and psychological fields. If one searches the keywords “mindfulness meditation”, “mindfulness and pain”, and “mindfulness and brain” in pubmed (www.pubmed.gov), namely one of the premier database of scientific scholarly medical citations, the system returns respectively more than 1,000, 300, and 290 scientific papers (website accessed on 6 November 2015). A key aspect of scientific research on meditation has been the development of standardized meditation trainings that has allowed researchers to compare findings and develop a shared body of knowledge. The present article describes the MOM training developed by the authors and used by them in 6 published experimental studies.

Mindfulness meditation was developed over 2,500 years ago by Siddhartha Gautama, an Indian prince born in the Sakya clan, known as the Buddha. After a period devoted to asceticism, the Buddha reached the full realization and perfect wisdom (Nibbana), a “fully awakened” status commonly known as the enlightenment. Later, Gautama has dedicated more than 40 years of his life to teaching other sentient beings the way to reach the full realization and liberation. One of the fundamental principles of his teaching concerns the central role of the mind. According to Gautama, the reality is a mental construct and one cannot act on the world except through his mind. In his opinion, people should try to keep a balanced life (the Middle Way), avoiding all extremes in conduct and views, that is indulgence and hedonism on the one side, and self-mortification on the other (Bareau 1983; Gombrich 2009, 2011).

The psycho-educational program developed by Gautama involves four general statements, which have been called the “Four Noble Truths”. The first argues that the mind, and therefore the reality, is characterized by the intrinsic existence of suffering and sorrow (Dukkha). The Second Noble Truth describes the principal causes of suffering and says that pain and suffering arise from the transience of all things and human life (Anicca); the impermanence of life is the root cause of the three main poisons of the mind (or the three unwholesome roots): ignorance, attachment, and aversion. The Third Noble Truth says that suffering can be overcome and happiness (full realization) attained (Nibbana and paranibbana). The Fourth Noble Truth is the way (i.e., The Middle Way) to enlightenment and hence refers to the eight steps to achieve liberation from pain (Barcau 1983; Gnanaratana 2001).

The path to achieve complete liberation from suffering and pain was summarized by Gautama in eight steps (Noble Eightfold Path), which, despite their progressive character, must be finalized at the same time. The first six steps of the path are related to the lifestyles preparatory to liberation. The first step is “right view” or “right understanding” (samma ditta) that the nature of the mind and reality are intrinsically characterized by suffering; the second step is “right intention” or “right thought” (samma sankappa) and refers to the intention to pursue the path to attain liberation; the third step is “right speech” (samma vaca) and deals with the way in which one should best make use of his words and verbal expression in order to not produce negative effects on oneself and others; the fourth step is “right action” or “right conduct” (samma kammanta) and relates to how one should not act in ways that would bring harm and suffering to oneself or to others; the fifth step is “right livelihood” or “right way of life” (samma ayyana) and means living in a balanced way by resisting and rejecting the excesses. The last three steps relate to the practice of meditation to attain liberation from suffering. The sixth step is “right effort” or “right endeavor” (samma sateyyan) and refers in particular to the practice of realizing the right effort during meditation; the seventh step is “right mindfulness” or “right attention/awareness” (samma sati) and deals with the practitioner who tries to constantly keep his mind in the present and alert to phenomena that affect the body and mind; finally, the eighth and final step is “right unitive concentration” (samma samadhi) where the practitioner tries to reach a state of unitive absorption. Thus, mindfulness meditation is the seventh step of the Noble Eightfold Path that leads to liberation from suffering and pain.

2. How to practice mindfulness meditation.

How to practice mindfulness meditation (samma sati) has been taught directly by Gautama in “The Great Discourse on the Foundations of Mindfulness” (Mahasatipatthana Sutta), which is the twenty-second discourse of the “Collection of Long Discourses” (Digha Nikaya); one of the five collections (Nikayas) that form the so-called “Basket of Discourses” delivered by the Buddha (Sutta Pitaka) (Gnoli 2001, Wynne 2009). The Great Discourse on the Foundations of Mindfulness was described verbally immediately after the death of the Buddha and then transcribed in Pali in the first century BC (Gombrich 2009).

In this discourse, Gautama teaches how to contemplate the nature of the body (i.e., body scan), first focusing on awareness of breathing: “consciously he inhaled; consciously he exhaled”. In addition, body scan is then realized by focusing on body awareness through the: a) awareness of body parts: “he reflects on precisely this body itself, from the soles of the
feet up and from the crown of the head down"; b) awareness of body position: "In whatever position his body happens to be, he is aware of the position of his body"; c) awareness of the actions of the body: "he is fully aware of everything he is doing [...] when he eats or drinks, chews or savors the food, he applies full awareness to all this"; d) awareness of the sensations of the body: "when experiencing a pleasant feeling, he understands: I experience a pleasant feeling; when experiencing a painful feeling, he understands: I experience a painful feeling". The final part of the Great Discourse on the Foundations of Mindfulness is about the practice of observation of the mind. For example: "when sense-desire is present, he knows with understanding: there is sense-desire in me, or when sense-desire is not present, he knows with understanding: there is no sense-desire in me"; "when anger is present, he knows with understanding: there is anger in me, or when anger is not present, he knows with understanding: there is no anger in me"; "when worry and agitation are present, he knows with understanding: there are worry and agitation in me, or when worry and agitation are not present, he knows with understanding: there are no worry and agitation in me" (Walshe 1987; Gnoli 2001).

The Great Discourse on the Foundations of Mindfulness specifies the meditative practice that is necessary to achieve the seventh step of the Noble Eightfold Path (Gunarathana 1991); this practice corresponds to Vipassanā meditation (often referred to as insight or clear-seeing meditation), which was developed in the Theravada (literally "school of the elder monks") Buddhist tradition and widespread in the Island of Ceylon (Sri Lanka) and in Burma and Thailand (U Ba Khin 2001). In the second half of the last century a number of researchers have discussed the possible application of mindfulness and Vipassanā meditation in the medical and psychological fields (Naranjo, Ornstein 1972; Shapiro, Walsh 2008). In the late seventies, Jon Kabat-Zinn has developed a standardized introductory program of mindfulness meditation called Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) (Kabat-Zinn 1994, 2006). This program is organized in eight weekly sessions of about two hours. Each session is divided into different moments involving the presentation of some theoretical concepts, the practice of meditation, some exercises of hatha yoga and a space for discussion. The MBSR method allowed realizing hundreds of experimental studies that have shown the usefulness of mindfulness meditation in the treatment of serious organic diseases, such as cancer and chronic pain, and psychological ones such as stress, anxiety and depression (Kabat-Zinn 2003; Ludvig, Kabat-Zinn 2008). In addition, mindfulness meditation has proved a very effective tool in the training of medical doctors, psychologists and teachers.

3. Methodology: Description of the mindfulness-oriented meditation training. The mindfulness-oriented meditation (MOM) training is very similar to the MBSR program developed by Kabat-Zinn, because it is organized in eight weekly sessions of 2 hours each and requires the commitment from the participants to meditate at least 30 minutes per day for the entire duration of the course (two months). The practice of meditation involved in the MOM program is exclusively inspired by the "Great Discourse on the Foundations of Mindfulness". In each 30-minute meditation session, 10 minutes are dedicated to the practice of mindfulness of breathing (anāpānasati), 10 minutes to the contemplation of the body and the last 10 minutes to the observation of the mind. During the practice of MOM meditation, participants are seated and there is no yoga exercise. After the practice, about an hour is dedicated to the discussion of any issues relating to meditation, the possible insights gained during the practice and the eventual repercussions of meditation in the family and work life (Fabbro, Muratori 2012).

Each of the eight meetings starts with a short discussion (30 minutes) of a theme related to mindfulness. The topic addressed in the first meeting concerns a "historical introduction of mindfulness meditation"; it is emphasized that this practice developed in a spiritual context, because contrary to scientific epistemology that considers knowledge as always relative and incomplete (Fabbro 2014), the teaching of Gautama considers the existence of suffering and pain as a constitutive feature of the mind. Special attention is also given to the achievement of the correct posture to maintain during meditation and the aids (blankets and pillows) that can be used.

The second meeting addresses the topic what mindfulness meditation is. During mindfulness meditation, the practitioner has to learn to remain seated without moving, trying to keep the focus on the task (mindfulness of breathing, body scan and observation of the mind). During meditation, the subject should not do anything, doing at the same time, the most difficult job in the world, which is trying to be mindful. Mindfulness meditation is not what people generally think. It is not a relaxation technique; a method to ensure that any problem disappears rapidly; a practice to achieve ecstasy or trance; or a way to escape from reality or to acquire paranormal abilities (Gunarathana 1991). To maintain mindful awareness is an extremely difficult cognitive task because our tendency to behave on "autopilot" rather than being present to moment-to-moment experience is highly developed (Kabat-Zinn 2006). During mindfulness meditation the practitioner learns not to expect anything, to accept the present and letting go of what it cannot be changed, to be patient, not to force anything or deny anything, to be kind to himself, and to see problems as opportunities.

The third session introduces the top-
ic of attention. Mindfulness meditation is a process in which the subject tries to focus his attention on the breath, the body or on the mind; inevitably, after a while concentration and attention will be lost and the mind will begin to wander in memories, fantasies and thoughts; at some point the person will realize his mistake (wandering attention) and, with a non-judgmental and gentle attitude, he will return to focus on the task (Hasenkamp et al. 2012; Malinowski 2013). During mindfulness meditation, full awareness is reached just at the moment when the practitioner realizes that he was wrong, to which follows the willingness to start again with a non-judgmental attitude and a slight smile on the lips (metta). Cultivating a non-judgmental attitude during meditation does not mean self-indulgence or justification of the mistakes (loss of concentration on the task); rather it means being willing to acknowledge and accept mistakes for what they are, without getting angry about our inability. Non-judgmental attitude also means being willing to consciously observe the judgments that naturally arise in the mind during meditation.

The fourth meeting introduces the topic being in the here and now through mindfulness meditation (Kabat-Zinn 2004). It is known that humans have a mental system that allows them to travel mentally in time; mental imagery and memory allow one to reconstruct the past and to simulate the future (Schacter et al. 2007). This system has provided enormous opportunities to humans (e.g., the development of autobiographical memory, the rise of historical thinking, the simulation of future plans, the construction of tools, etc.) but has also introduced limits. The ability to travel mentally in time amplifies the tendency to avoid living in the present moment. It has been shown that people tend systematically to rehash and dwell on the past or project and worry about the future. Avoid living the present moment is one of the most powerful defense mechanisms to not feel the discomfort and existential malaise that pervades us (Fabbro, Crescentini 2014). For this reason the words sati and mindfulness refer to the ability to be present in the here and now, that is, in the only real dimension of existence.

The theme of the fifth session is disidentification. This is a central topic of mindfulness meditation, which, however, is difficult to understand for those who have not experienced it. The practice of paying attention to the parts of the body and to focus attention on the breath, which enters through the nostrils while inhaling and leave the nostrils while exhaling, can allow the subject to develop a "detached viewpoint" from where paying attention to the task. This new psychological viewpoint allows the subject to observe from a certain "distance" his breath, the parts of his body and the contents of his mind (memories, fantasies, thoughts and emotions). The distance that is created between the observer and his thoughts, sensations and emotions is called "dis-identification" or "detachment", "defusion" or "reperceiving" (Shapiro, Carlson 2009). This process allows the practitioner to understand that what happens in the mind and the observer are not necessarily the same thing; in other words, that the awareness of one's thoughts and feelings is different from the thoughts and feelings themselves (Segal et al. 2002; Shapiro, Carlson 2009). Being able to keep some distance from the contents of the mind contributes to equanimity, clarity and objectivity.

The sixth meeting is introduced by a discussion on the theme of de-automatization. According to different spiritual traditions (De Salzmann 2010), recently confirmed by several psychological researches (Langer 1989; Kahneman 2011), much of human behavior is based on mindless and unconscious processing. Automatized thought processes and reactions are similar to the automated flight system in which an autopilot is inserted and are comparable to the hypnosis or somnambulism conditions. Automatized processes reduce awareness as well as the ability to make conscious decisions, and the ability to be present to the experiences of life; for these reasons automatic processes reduce episodic memory. Meditation is instead a system to increase self-remembering (bhavana, the Sanskrit word for meditation which means: to remember what we are doing) and mindfulness meditation, in particular, is a practice with an effect of de-automatization in which one's tendency to unconsciously and effortlessly engage in maladaptive reactive behaviors becomes controlled and conscious. Mindful awareness is thus a way to achieve de-automatization; this allows individuals to explore the spiritual dimension of experience also leading to greater flexibility and freedom of choice and action (Deikman 1966). The seventh meeting introduces the topic of facing the experience of pain. A widespread attitude in the West is to try to avoid suffering. In other words, the most common attitude in the face of pain is that of escape. When facing pain, the children react complaining or crying. Instead, adults tend to deny the suffering and pain (shifting attention to other experiences or stimuli or through rationalization) or to hide these experiences (reducing awareness of the body, emotions and feelings through automatized actions). In other circumstances, when faced with pain and suffering, adults may respond by expressing feelings of anger, depression and aggression. The attitude of mindfulness meditation in the experience of pain suggests to not avoid suffering and pain but to carefully observe their characteristics such as their intensity and quality; through mindfulness meditation one learns to stand in front of the pain with dignity and without any desire, maintaining at the same time a slight smile on the lips
(metta). Instead of reacting and to run away from pain, we learn to stand still and look at all the sensations of pain and at what is happening in the mind (Fabbro, Crescentini 2014).

In the eighth and last meeting the issues of letting go and acceptance of present-moment experience are presented. A good starting point to learn the difficult ability of letting go of control and surrendering to the present moment is to focus attention on pain and suffering experiences, starting concretely from a condition of physical or psychological discomfort. After learning to stand still with dignity in the face of pain, the practitioner tries to observe the emotions related to pain, such as the urge to flee and to protest; at this point one can learn to accept those feelings, emotions and reactions and to let them go, keeping a slight smile on the lips. Letting go means letting experience be whatever it is, allowing the thought to occur without suppressing or evaluating the thought; it is an invitation to cease clinging to anything and to give up control of the situation. We abandon ourselves to the present moment. In other words, we give up with the idea that in the present moment should happen something different than what is happening. What happens, it happens; when we accept the present moment for what it is, we are accepting what is already there without struggle or resistance. To develop the ability to accept our present situation and let go of control is a preliminary condition for the generation of feelings of compassion toward ourselves and others. Accepting the present moment simply as it is does not mean that we have to like all events and beings or that we must be passive in the face of everything; it just means that we try to see things as they are; mindful awareness and acceptance help the knowledge of who we are at that time and this can help make our future actions more articulate, bright and clear.

After it was developed a decade ago by one of the authors (FF), the MOM method has been used in numerous courses for physicians and psychologists held at the University-Hospital of Udine and at the University of Udine. Therefore, it was possible to constitute a group of people who regularly practice mindfulness meditation, selecting among them about ten instructors (people who practice mindfulness for a few years and that have been formed to conduct meditation groups) (https://groupmom.wordpress.com). This has enabled a series of MOM courses for doctors, psychologists, teachers and individuals engaged in other professions, which have been carried out mainly free of charge. Since these courses were carried out in university settings, they were almost always subject to experimental research.

4. Results: Main neuropsychological studies on mindfulness-oriented meditation. The brain structures activated during meditative tasks have been analyzed by our research group with two meta-analysis studies. The first study involved 24 experiments with 150 foci of activation observed during meditation practices which were inspired by both the Hindu and Buddhist tradition. This study revealed a network of activation including the bilateral medial frontal gyrus, the left superior parietal lobe, the right supramarginal gyrus and the left insula (Tomassino et al. 2013). In a subsequent study we have separated the two main meditation practices (Buddhist vs. Hindu). The practices that were inspired by the Buddhist tradition (16 experiments with 96 foci of activation) were associated with activation in frontal lobe areas thought to be critical for executive control and attention functions; meditation practices that were inspired by the Hindu tradition (8 experiments with 54 foci of activation) reflected in activation of a brain network, including the postcentral gyrus, the superior parietal lobe, the hippocampus and the medial cingulate cortex, which is involved in the generation of religious/spiritual experiences (Tomassino et al. 2014). More recently, we have carried out two functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies in individuals who practiced an 8-week MOM course. In the first study we investigated the brain areas that were activated and deactivated after the MOM training. This goal was reached by subtracting the brain structures activated (and deactivated) before the training from the brain areas activated after the training while subjects performed mindfulness of breathing and body scan tasks. The MOM training determined activation of the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, the left anterior insula and the nucleus caudate, which are areas believed to be related to attention and psychological well-being (cf. Figure 1). Moreover, the MOM training led to deactivation of the medial prefrontal cortex and the right somatosensory cortex, which are regions involved in the representation of the body and the self (Tomassino, Fabbro 2016).

From a neuroscientific point of view, these data indicate that the MOM training is comparable to other mindfulness meditation trainings such as the MBSR (see Tomassino et al. 2014). In a second study, we analyzed the neural correlates of the ability to take different perspectives in a body representation task. After the MOM training, subjects were able to take a more detached perspective that was correlated with a greater activation of the right medial orbital gyrus (Tomassino et al. 2016).

A promising area of research carried out with the MOM method has concerned the study of personality and self-representation changes observed in meditators (see Crescentini, Capurso 2015). In a first study (Campanella et al. 2014), we assessed the effects of a MOM training on individuals’ personality by using the Temperament and Caracter Inventory (TCI) for personality traits devised by Cloninger et al. (Cloninger et al. 1994). This was done on four groups of subjects. Groups 1 and 2 were
formed by subjects who meditated regularly for two months; group 3 had meditated irregularly (less than four times a week) while the fourth group involved subjects who had not meditated at all. In the first two groups (1 and 2), the MOM training led to a significant increase of the TCI aspects that measure the maturity of the self (i.e., the three TCI character scales of self-directedness, cooperativeness and self-transcendence). By contrast, in the last two groups of participants (3 and 4) there was no evidence of significant changes in personality traits. In a second study, we investigated the personality changes induced by the MOM training evaluating not only the explicit components of personality, assessed through the TCI, but also the implicit components, which were analyzed using an Implicit Association Test (IAT). The study revealed that the MOM practitioners showed significant increases in both explicit self-transcendence and implicit religiosity/spirituality levels (Crescentini et al. 2014). These results suggest that an 8-week MOM training can strengthen a coherent image of the self together with religious/spiritual aspects. In a clinical study we investigated the effects of a MOM training in a group of patients with alcohol dependence. Similarly to the study on healthy subjects mentioned above, after the 8-week MOM training the patients showed increased maturity of the self (the three TCI character scales); moreover, compared with a treatment as usual control group not involved in meditation, the MOM practitioners also showed decreased warning signs of relapse (Crescentini et al. 2013a).

Finally, in a recent research we evaluated the effects of a MOM training adapted for a group of children of 7-8 years. In this study, a different group of children of 7-8 years from the same primary school underwent a control training based on emotion recognition and awareness; the control training followed the same procedures of the MOM training but did not involve any form of meditation. Before and after the control and MOM trainings, the children were evaluated by the main teacher, who was blind about the trainings and study aims, using the Child Behavior Checklist-Teacher Report Form (CBCL-TRF) and the Conners Teacher Rating Scale-Revised (CTRS-R). During the first week the children in the MOM group meditated for 9 minutes (3 minutes of mindfulness of breathing, 3 minutes of body contemplation, and 3 minutes of observation of the mind); in the following 7 weeks the time devoted to meditation grew up until reaching 30 minutes in the last week. Compared with the control group, the MOM participants showed a significant improvement in attention and impulsivity and reported reduced symptoms related to Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) (Crescentini et al. 2016). In addition, this research has shown that the MOM training can be practiced effectively by children under 10 years of age.

Figure 1. The brain bases of the MOM training are displayed on three (axial, sagittal, and coronal) planes of brain structural image. The areas in black refer to activations observed after (versus before) MOM (1: Right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; 2: left nucleus caudate; 3: left anterior insula). The areas in white refer to deactivations observed after (versus before) MOM (4: medial prefrontal cortex; 5: right somatosensory cortex).

Finally, as mentioned at the beginning of this article, four other researches have been carried out in which the MOM protocol has been applied in healthy subjects (teachers and adults involved in other professions) or in patients with multiple sclerosis in order to further verify the effectiveness of mindfulness-oriented meditation on various indices related to participants’ psychophysical well-being.

5. Discussion and Conclusions. The Mindfulness-Oriented Meditation (MOM) training is very similar to the Mindfulness Based Stress Reduction program developed by Kabat-Zinn. There are, however, two major differences. The first concerns the fact that the MOM training is a basic meditative practice that directly relates to the teaching of the Prince Gautama, and more specifically to the “Great Discourse on the Foundations of Mindfulness”; furthermore, the MOM training does not include meditation techniques such as Hata-yoga and walking meditation or different types or procedures of mindfulness practices (for example increasing the duration of the meditation exercises during the course up to a mini-retreat of several hours). The second difference is the attitude of the MOM training towards the theme of spirituality which is very relevant to meditation. The MBSR training, developed by Kabat-Zinn more than thirty years ago, wanted to differentiate itself clearly from spiritual themes (Kabat-Zinn 2003, 2004, 2006); this was probably due to the aim of introducing this training in medical and psychological research. After thirty years the situation has changed. Several studies are showing that it is possible to study religiousness and spirituality in a scientific context (Urgesi et al. 2010; Crescentini et al. 2014; see in Fabbro 2010, 2014). Moreover, recent studies
suggest that spirituality is a fundamental way through which mindfulness meditation leads to improvements in medical and psychological symptoms (Carmody et al. 2008; see in Pezzetta et al. 2015). In our opinion, it is now possible to deal with issues related to spirituality both in a secular way (i.e. without requiring adherence to any religious belief or creed) and experimentally (Fabbro 2010; Pezzetta et al. 2015). For this reason the MOM training devotes the first 30 minutes of each meeting to analyze some issues regarding the practice of mindfulness (such as de-automatization, dis-identification, the here and now, letting go, etc.); these all are key aspects that are considered important from the historical, neuropsychological and spiritual perspectives.

Bibliography/References

* Equal contribution.