1	Applicant will probably want to summarize at the end
2	of the meeting and maybe address some of the things
3	you said, but the questions really should be to us.
4	And we may not have answers for you right now if
5	they're in the form of a question, but it will be
6	part of the record. We will make sure any comments,
7	substantive comments that are made will be part of
8	any decision-making that happens.
. 9	CHAIRMAN RICE: Okay, let's get started.
10	Mr. Logan.
11	FEMALE SPEAKER: Mr. Logan.
12	MR. LOGAN: I pass.
13	FEMALE SPEAKER: You pass? Okay.
14	CHAIRMAN RICE: He passes?
15	FEMALE SPEAKER: Eileen Bernstein
16	(phonetic). Did I say it right?
17	MS. BERNSTEIN: My name is Ellen Berella-
18	Bernstein, and I'm speaking on behalf of the Board of
19	Directors of the Putnam Highlands Audubon Society.
20	CHAIRMAN RICE: I'm sorry, could you just
21	state your address or affiliation?
22	MS. BERNSTEIN: I just said it, Putnam
23	Highlands Audubon Society.
24	CHAIRMAN RICE: I'm sorry.

MS. BERNSTEIN: The Mid-Hudson Chapter of

25

the National Audubon Society. As a chapter of a major environmental organization, we are very concerned about the effect the tower will have on our environment, its effects on wildlife and the quality of life for the community.

For this reason, we're requesting immediate monitoring of air, soil, water, including aquifers and wildlife to establish baseline data and that continuing monitoring be required to ensure that there are no negative impacts should a tower be approved.

We would recommend that alternative solutions be considered first. Distributed antenna systems is one alternative that already exists and should be explored and considered, but there may be other newer technologies that could meet our communication needs without endangering our environment as a cell tower does. Therefore, we request a report on alternative options be prepared and vetted by outside engineers. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Thank you.

(APPLAUSE)

FEMALE SPEAKER: Garrett Gesh (phonetic).

MR. GESH: Chairman of the Board, my name is

	Proceedings 83
1	Garrett Gesh. I live at 4 Crown Street at the
2	intersection of Crown and Secra, as many of you know.
3	My house is 125 feet from the propose site of the
4	cell tower.
5	CHAIRMAN RICE: This is a different site,
6	sir. You're talking about the Rockledge site?
7	MR. GESH: No, I'm talking about the SEQRA
8	site.
9	CHAIRMAN RICE: Well, we're oot talking
10	about the SEQRA site, but I know you want to talk
11	about that. We're going to talk about it in a
12	different forum.
13	MR. GESH: Very good.
14	CHAIRMAN RICE: So unless you want to speak
15	to your you know, you can speak to the Rockledge
16	site if you'd like, if you think it's a superior site
17	or
18	MR. GESH: No.
19	MALE SPEAKER: Actually, can I offer an
20	intervention on his part? The paperwork for
21	Rockledge now includes the alternative sites, so how
22	can that not be
23	CHAIRMAN RICE: What paperwork?
24	MALE SPEAKER: I can show you, the letter
:	

CHAIRMAN RICE: When it's your turn to

1 speak, you can address that. 2 MALE SPEAKER: Okay, because it relates to 3 his right to speak tonight. 4 CHAIRMAN RICE: He does have a right to 5 speak. 6 MALE SPEAKER: It is implicitly part of your 7 decision. CHAIRMAN RICE: But we're not speaking about 8 9 SEQRA tonight. This is a hearing for the 10 Rockledge --11 MALE SPEAKER: But the SEQRA is relevant to 12 your decision on Rockledge. I'll talk about it --13 CHAIRMAN RICE: You can bring it up, but the 14 hearing tonight for the Plaintiff, I mean, for the --(LAUGHTER) for the Applicant site is specific to 15 16 Rockledge tonight. That's why we're here. There is 17 an interest in the SEQRA site as you say, and because 18 we've asked to see alternative sites, but this 19 hearing is specific to this site in Nelsonville, but 20 we'll come back to you. 21 MALE SPEAKER: Yeah, yeah. 22 FEMALE SPEAKER: Kenneth Levine. 23

MR. LEVINE: I'm Kenneth Levine. I was going to talk about the SEQRA site, but that's not happening. I think all my thoughts onto me -- are

24

25

you going to have that letter, that document that was 1 2 handed to you by the homeowner? CHAIRMAN RICE: On the website, yes, sir. 3 MR. LEVINE: Because I wasn't able to see 4 that, so that's what I was going to comment on. 5 CHAIRMAN RICE: We weren't either, so this 6 is from your (indiscernible) neighbor. 7 MR. LEVINE: Yes. 8 CHAIRMAN RICE: Yes. We just received it to 9 hand it us. It will be, I believe, posted on the 10 website, and everybody can look at it. 11 MR. LEVINE: All right, so I'll be able to 12 make that comment once I get the information. 13 CHAIRMAN RICE: Perfect. 14 MR. LEVINE: I can't talk on something I 15 don't have the information. 16 CHAIRMAN RICE: And neither can we, so thank 17 18 you. MR. LEVINE: Okay, thanks. 19 FEMALE SPEAKER: Susan Drogan (phonetic). 20 MS. DROGAN: My name is Susa Drogan. I live 21 on Windy Ridge, which is really my driveway which 22 comes off of Lane Gate and Moffitt. My view is where 23 the tower is supposed to be. I currently pay taxes 24

based on my view and I pay incredibly high taxes.

25

I would like to know, since I've been advised by several realtors, that the value of my property will go down when this is what I'm overlooking. Who do I speak to about getting my taxes adjusted or will they be adjusted?

CHAIRMAN RICE: I think that's an assessor's --

MS. DROGAN: Okay. The second part of this we were never advised about any balloon tests, so --

CHAIRMAN RICE: The balloon test was published in both papers.

MS. DROGAN: All right. I mean, the pictures of things that I've seen are all from the perspective of down low. Did anybody do any photography from above?

whole simulation provided by the Applicant prior to the balloon test which is available at the -- on the website. You can see there's all different perspectives, and then the balloon test itself allow people to go around the site and look at the height of the balloon. Some places you can see it, some places you can't, so I'm sorry that you didn't know about the balloon test. It was a perfect opportunity

1	to see the impact of the tower. I think Robert said
2	it was 10 feet higher.
3	MR. GAUDIOSO: The balloons were ten feet
4	higher.
5	CHAIRMAN RICE: Ten feet higher than they
6	will be. It's a 110-foot tower. They ran the
7	balloon at 120 feet, and I wish you could have looked
8	out from your house to notice if you would notice it
9 🐧	or not.
10	MS. DROGAN: Can somebody tell me exactly at
11	what
12	CHAIRMAN RICE: Elevation?
13	MS. DROGAN: elevation, yeah, that the
14	base of the tower or the top of the tower will be?
15	CHAIRMAN RICE: 110 feet from it's not a
16	(indiscernible) average height. It's simply the
17	height of the grade.
18	MS. DROGAN: What is the height of the
19	grade?
20	CHAIRMAN RICE: Above the height of the
21	grade.
22	MS. DROGAN: All right, but what is the
23	height of the grade?
24	CHAIRMAN RICE: Relative to your
25	MS DROGAN: Well, relative to sea level.

1	CHAIRMAN RICE: I don't know that off the
2	top of my head, but
3	MS. DROGAN: I mean, I know the elevation of
4	my house relative to sea level.
5	CHAIRMAN RICE: The base of the tower.
6	MR. GAUDIOSO: The base of the tower is 263.
7	CHAIRMAN RICE: Relative to sea level.
8	MS. DROGAN: 262 (sic) and it's okay,
. 9	thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN RICE: Okay, but I hope that you'll
11	look at the simulations provided by the Applicant and
12	it may help you understand if you'll see that tower
13	or not.
14	MR. KEELEY: And just because there's so
15	many documents, I think that's in and others
16	correct me, I think that's in the long-form revised
17	EAF, which is the second batch of documents
18	(indiscernible).
19	MS. DROGAN: Okay, fine, because I tried
20	looking at the last batch from the
21	MR. KEELEY: Yeah. There's a lot of stuff
22	in there.
23	MS. DROGAN: Yeah, thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN RICE: It's very dense, and that's
25	what we've been doing for the last few months is

1 going through step by step that information. 2 Next, please. 3 FEMALE SPEAKER: Harold Axelrod. 4 5 about SEQRA only to the extent this process, and I've 6 been attending most of these meetings --7 CHAIRMAN RICE: Yes, sir, you have. 8 MR. AXELROD: -- was encouraging the 9 Applicant to look at that site. 10 11 The zoning code requires the Applicant to look at 12 alternate sites. 13 14 make is that one fact relevant to that consideration, 15 not relevant for Rockledge, is that the environmental 16 issues or the radiation issues that people are 17 concerned about are strictly in the purview of the 18 Town at this point. The FCC has no jurisdiction. 19 the Town says no to that site, it never gets to a 20 question. 21 22 23

MR. AXELROD: I was going to make a point CHAIRMAN RICE: You're absolutely right. MR. AXELROD: The only comment that I would So I would urge this Board or the Board --CHAIRMAN RICE: The Board is not considering -- the Board has no jurisdiction over that site, just to be clear. We're not making that judgment, unless

24

we are, but we're not now. It's the Village Board of

Nelsonville that is negotiating that site. The Zoning Board is not involved, nor is the Planning Board at this time.

MR. AXELROD: Well, only to the extent we were seeking to see if that would be the solution.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Yes. Absolutely, right.

MR. AXELROD: What I'm suggesting is to the extent that it's not the solution because of the concerns from the local schools, that is a relevant factor for this body to consider.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Say that again, what's relevant? The radio waves?

MR. AXELROD: Yeah. In other words, it may not be relevant. We can't look at that because there's a federal preemption on Rockledge. That preemption doesn't apply at the SEQRA site because they don't have --

CHAIRMAN RICE: I don't know that to be true.

MR. AXELROD: Is it true because they don't start with the premise of having the right from a landowner to build. In Counsel's presentation he made that clear because there is a predicate. They have a lease for the property on Rockledge.

CHAIRMAN RICE: They're trying to negotiate

a lease.

MR. AXELROD: For Rockledge I'm talking about, for Rockledge. They have --

CHAIRMAN RICE: Not for Rockledge.

MR. AXELROD: No, they own the land. They have an option on Rockledge.

CHAIRMAN RICE: They don't own the land, but they do have an option perhaps on it.

MR AXELROD: Yeah. So my point is to understand why we can't look at radiation issues on Rockledge. That is not the case when it comes to Secra.

CHAIRMAN RICE: I didn't know that, so -
MR. AXELROD: It was, actually, established

clearly at the meeting that the mayor skillfully ran

the other day.

MS. CLEMENTS: What was established clearly?

MR. AXELROD: That if this board chooses to

say -- not this board, if the Town chooses to day -
CHAIRMAN RICE: The Village.

MR. AXELROD: The Village chooses to day -- CHAIRMAN RICE: Trustees and the mayor.

MR. AXELROD: Sorry, if the trustees and the mayor choose to say that site is off limits, you never get to the question of whether the FCC would

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

preempt us from asserting the radiation issues.

MR. MARINO: Because you don't have a willing landowner, you're saying?

MR. AXELROD: Exactly. Precisely right. That's my first point. Thank you.

Second point, it is acknowledge that the right-of-way issue upon Rockledge does have some It's not unlimited as one of the members of was suggesting, but I think the phrase that Mr. Gaudioso used was that it has to be reasonable in light of the contemplated use. If the contemplated use up there is residential, the existing right-ofway doesn't need to be improved. It works. Valella lives on that street and built his home across that right-of-way, so did the Chapmans. that right-of-way doesn't need to be rebuilt for a contemplated use of residents. It might have to be for a contemplated use for the antenna or the tower, but that's not what was contemplated when those deeds were carved up. They were carved up as carving out a right-of-way so that someone else could build a residence there in the same way the existing residence on Rockledge Road did.

So I would suggest there are natural limits that it has to be consonant with the purpose of

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

23

25

building a residence as opposed to building a tower, an airport, or any other non-conforming use.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Airports are not -- the point is but a cell tower is permitted in that zone under a special permit. That's why we're here.

MR. AXELROD: I understand, but if the special permit -- if the dispute of this over whether the right-of-way that's there is adequate or can be rebuilt into a highway, the answer is it is currently adequate for the contemplated use of the site, which was mountain residential.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Okay. I appreciate that.

MR. GAUDIOSO: Mr. Chairman, I just have to correct one thing.

MR. AXELROD: No, wait a second. I get to finish and you get to -- I didn't interrupt you. waited patiently.

(MULTIPLE SPEAKERS)

CHAIRMAN RICE: Yeah, Robert, let's wait to the end.

MR. AXELROD: And the third point I'd make, my final point is I do not believe the gap is a real one. I understand that maybe somebody can drive around with a meter and find that the signal was weak, but as a practical matter, for those of us who and down 9D. I haven't had a problem with drop

I've driven up and down 301. I've driven up

I had a cell call today up on Moffitt Road

1

live here, it is adequate.

2

3

4

calls.

a significant gap.

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and the person I was speaking with was shocked at how good the signal was. It was better than the signal I get from my landline. It was as if he was next door, but he was in Boston.

So again, if there is not, in fact, a demonstrated real need, I understand that counsel for

Plaintiff says he doesn't have the burden of proving

a significant gap. We can establish that there's not

CHAIRMAN RICE: "We" as in scientifically?

MR. AXELROD: Well, we as residents of the Village who use this product, who use this technology, and we say we don't feel there's a significant enough gap to destroy the esthetic value, the homeowner's value, for all the people living up at Rockledge Road and its vicinity.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Okay. I appreciate that. Thank you.

MR. AXELROD: Thank you.

(APPLAUSE)

FEMALE SPEAKER: Andy Revkin.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Andy, your turn.

MR. REVKIN: Okay, thanks. Andy Revkin, 26-year resident of Phillipstown, three-year resident of Nelsonville, 3 Crown Street, neighbor of Garreth.

We're less than 150 feet from Ground Zero.

My questions are not -- I'll restrict the questions to the ones --

CHAIRMAN RICE: Rockledge.

MR. REVKIN: -- to SEQRA, I mean to Rockledge. One thing I want to be sure I understand, now that the Village and Homeland Towers in their correspondence on Rockledge have introduced the option of the lease on Crown, can that affect your decision on Rockledge? Can you say definitely it will not or cannot affect your decision on Rockledge, the fact that there's an option?

CHAIRMAN RICE: I don't know if I can say yes.

MR. REVKIN: Exactly. That's why it's relevant to talk about both at the same time.

(APPLAUSE)

MR. REVKIN: Because the paperwork, the

November 1st cover letter that you guys -- that

Robert sent has a reference to an agreement, a lease

offer made to the Village on September 29th. To my

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

mind, while you're not part of that negotiation --CHAIRMAN RICE: And we are not.

That's fine, but for you to MR. REVKIN: have confidence that this is a good-faith operation that isn't using the densely populated Nelsonville to SEQRA site as an escape route for a successful venture that will bring revenue to the Village and get around some of the legal issues that were on Rockledge --

CHAIRMAN RICE: What are those legal issues?

MR. REVKIN: Well, whatever the issues you're facing on Rockledge, they could be circumvented by the -- this came up a second ago. Ιf there's some sort of impression that there's an easier path now, that the 2 Secra is on town Village land that you guys have some more confidence you're not going to be facing fights because some Village officials who are deadest on fighting the other one now seem to have this positive sense of the one on Secra, then that's a problem for those of us who live in that densely populated around the SEQRA site. it is relevant to your decision-making. As you've just indicated, you cannot guarantee --

CHAIRMAN RICE: We do know about it and we

25 do --

## Proceedings

1	MR. REVKIN: Yeah, it's in your heads. It's
2	like there's an option now. Oh, God, and it's
3	actually cool because, you know
4	CHAIRMAN RICE: But as I've said before, the
5	(indiscernible) required by
6	MR. REVKIN: Yeah. And that's why
7 -	CHAIRMAN RICE: It's the Applicant must look
8	at other sites. We encourage them to look at other
9	sites.
10	MR. REVKIN: Right. So there's
11	CHAIRMAN RICE: The neighborhood, the
12	Rockledge neighbors, are have that option. I
13	don't know, I can't qualify how they (indiscernible)
14	about it, but they may the Board allows them to
15	look at other sites, so there's not much we can do
16	about it. I mean, we encourage them to look for
17	other sites. They've looked for other sites. Some
18	aren't working. What happens is when it
19	MR. REVKIN: But suppose if there was a
20	question about legitimacy of all that, would that be
21	relevant to you?
22	CHAIRMAN RICE: Can you explain that a
23	little bit more? Legitimacy to what?
24	MR. REVKIN: Collusion.
25	CHAIRMAN RICE: What?

1 MR. REVKIN: Collusion. 2 FEMALE SPEAKER: Collusion. 3 CHAIRMAN RICE: Collusion in reference to what? 4 5 MR. REVKIN: Village trustees saying, "Hey," 6 you know, "we'll give you this land." 7 MR. MARINO: Let me back up for a minute, 8 though. The subject of this application is 9 Rockledge. 10 MR. REVKIN: I know, but --11 MR. MARINO: Secra has been brought up as a 12 possible alternative as have a number of other sites 13 in the Village and the Villages. 14 MR. REVKIN: And why was he able to give 15 presentations tonight about the suitability of Secra? 16 He did. 17 MR. MARINO: None of those other sites are 18 being proposed as an alternative. They're being 19 explored as possible alternatives, but no one is 20 saying "We're going to change our application from 21 Rockledge because the path through Secra seems that 22 much easier." 23 So we're really -- we can really only look at what's being applied for before our Boards at this 24

Until such time as they make some

25

accommodation with the Village Board, if that happens, and they come back to us and say, "Our preferred alternative is now Secra, that's what you should be reviewing," then we have to go through the whole scientific process all over again, start this process all over again.

I think, though, at this time all we can be really talking about is Rockledge and understanding that Secra is out there as one of a number of other alternatives.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Secra is on the top is what we talked about because it's in reference to --

MR. REVKIN: But it seems to be the only -- isn't it the only --

CHAIRMAN RICE: The radio frequency reports  $\overset{\cdot \cdot }{\cdot \cdot }$  that we requested --

MR. REVKIN: He also showed you photos of the site, and I can show you of this, you know.

CHAIRMAN RICE: We want to find out if it is a legitimate site or not within the context of the Rockledge site.

MR. REVKIN: Right. And with that in mind,

I have one request, and this is a request to you.

You can just say yes or no right now. Can you

release the lease offer as a public document which

1	will be releasable under (indiscernible) anyway?
2	Give a copy to them, the September 29th lease offer?
3	MR. GAUDIOSO: I was told to hold my
4	comments until the end, so
5	CHAIRMAN RICE: So let's hold his comments
6	to the end, yeah.
7	
8	MR. REVKIN: Get an answer on that, please.
9	CHAIRMAN RICE: Sorry.
10	MR. REVKIN: It will be great to have an
11	answer on that.
12	CHAIRMAN RICE: On whether the lease
13	MR. REVKIN: The September 29th document.
14	CHAIRMAN RICE: The lease negotiations could
15	be released to the public. They may be foiled. I
16	don't know. We'll find out.
17	MR. REVKIN: Well, it's relevant to I
18	think it's relevant to your confidence that the
19	escape clause is a legitimate one.
20	CHAIRMAN RICE: The escape clause, sir?
21	MR. REVKIN: 2 Secra as an alternative.
22	CHAIRMAN RICE: It's (indiscernible). I
23	don't know why you characterize it in that
24	MR. MARINO: Well
25	CHAIRMAN RICE: It's required by

1	MR. MARINO: we are certainly nowhere
2	near going down the road in collusion or anything
3	like that.
4	MS. CLEMENTS: Really I have to say, I am so
5	offended, the idea that you are standing in front
6	of
7	MR. REVKIN: I'm a reporter, okay. My
8	career is to ask questions.
9	MS. CLEMENTS: volunteers and the
10	community, okay. You're talking as a member of our
11	community.
12	MR. REVKIN: Yeah.
13	MS. CLEMENTS: And to come up here and talk
14	to eight volunteers who have who are volunteering
15	their time for this community and to suggest
16	collusion, I have to say I am deeply offended.
17	MR. MERANDO: I agree with that.
18	MR. REVKIN: I'm sorry.
19	(APPLAUSE)
20	MR. MERANDO: You're making a statement
21	against us
22	MR. REVKIN: I'm asking questions.
23	MR. MERANDO: that we're in collusion
24	with other we're independent people here
25	CHAIRMAN RICE: You suggested that.

CHAIRMAN RICE: You suggested that.

1 MR. MARINO: I think we've given you the 2 answer to that at this point. 3 MR. REVKIN: Okay. 4 MR. MERANDO: -- who give up our free time 5 to come here --6 MR. REVKIN: And you're great at what you . 7 do. . 8 MR. MERANDO: -- and do the best that we can 9 for the Village of Nelsonville. 10 CHAIRMAN RICE: I think your time is up. 11 Pauline. 12 FEMALE SPEAKER: Sandy Saunders. 1.3 CHAIRMAN RICE: Sandy Saunders. 14 MR. SAUNDERS: Alexander Saunders, owner of 15 3 Brook Street of SEQRA law and I believe your code 16 and, for good sense, require that all reasonable 17 alternatives be explored. 18 CHAIRMAN RICE: That's correct. 19 MR. SAUNDERS: 3 Brook Street, the woods 20 bounded by Peekskill Road and Route 301, a wooded 21 tract of eight acres, is an ideal site with far 22 better sight lines to the Village up 301 south of --23 as far as Boscobel. It's a reasonable site, a 24 reasonable alternative, and I highly recommend it.

25

It's also commercial.

1	The Phillipstown code recommends that towers	
2	be placed on commercial properties.	
. 3	CHAIRMAN RICE: I don't think it does, sir.	
4	MR. SAUNDERS: I believe it does. That's	
5	why the Vineyard	
6	CHAIRMAN RICE: Oh, Phillipstown, I'm sorry.	
7	MR. SAUNDERS: Phillipstown.	
8	CHAIRMAN RICE: Yeah, I'm sorry.	
9	MR. SAUNDERS: Your code does not. But it's	
10	much more reasonable to do that access. 3 Brook	
.11	Street is we have multiple tractor trailers every	
12	day in there. It's very easy.	
13	CHAIRMAN RICE: Is that a Phillipstown	
14	property you're speaking of or	
15	MR. SAUNDERS: It's Nelson property. Yeah,	
16	I'm talking about 3 Brook Street as an alternate, and	
17	I think it is your job as the Zoning Board to review	
18	all alternatives and	
19	CHAIRMAN RICE: If you put that in writing	
20	and send it over here.	
21	MR. SAUNDERS: And we'll put that in	
22	writing. I have discussed this with Homeland as	
23	well.	
24	CHAIRMAN RICE: Okay, thank you. Your	
25	property, I guess.	

\_ .

\_\_\_

FEMALE SPEAKER: Richard Shea (phonetic).

MR. SHEA: I'm Philip Shea, Phillipstown Supervisor.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Hi, Richard.

MR. SHEA: You have my empathy. Anyway, I'm within earshot of Mr. Gaudioso, and his man posse would think this was a slam dunk, but if that were the case, then we would have a power plant on Storm Kind Mountain.

(APPLAUSE)

Truly not the case. And Mr. Gaudioso makes his compelling arguments. He's paid to do that as is every other (indiscernible) over there. They're paid by Homeland Tower. Homeland Tower is in the business of putting towers up to generate profit and revenue. But don't be confused by that. That's all within their (indiscernible). That's not within our (indiscernible), but our (indiscernible) is preserving our community.

And one thing we have here is this natural scenic beauty. If we compromise that scenic beauty, everything falls apart after that. The reason people come here, the reason they visit the town, the reason they spend money here because they want to see what we have. You start putting these towers up, people

are going to want to go other places. So it's something to really be cognizant of. I'm sure you are cognizant of that.

Also for Mr. Gaudioso to presume to tell you what your mandate is is a little disturbing in hearing that back here. I know you're fully aware of that, but I take affront to that as a Board member.

(APPLAUSE)

As far as, you know, the -- let me get to my notes here. I've been taking notes all along.

(PAUSE)

MR. SHEA: For a denial of service, no one's feeling like they're denied service in this town. I mean, it's been stated over and over there's no gap in coverage for the average person driving around or in their home, so you know, again that this is being — that this is emanating from this concern for the town or concern of lack of service or concern for emergency services, that's a false argument, so I'd like that also to be taken into account.

All in all, I hate to see neighbor pitted against neighbor also, and that's something that people have to really avoid because the only way to stand up to these things is to stand together, so

106 Proceedings this false, you know, trade-off of saying, "Well, are 1 you going to have it on Rockledge or are we going to 2 have it on Secra?" those aren't, you know, those are 3 two arguments, but the thing is how about having it 4 5 nowhere? 6 (APPLAUSE) There is no appreciable gap in coverage. 7 Again, this is all about money, and you know, don't 8 be fooled by these convincing arguments or taking 9 these things at face value because their job is to 10 get this tower in. Our job is to protect what we 11 hold dear. 12 (APPLAUSE) 13 FEMALE SPEAKER: Cathy Carnivol (phonetic). 14 CHAIRMAN RICE: Cathy. 15 MS. CARNIVOL: I'm sorry, I did not request 16 to speak. I thought I had to sign it. 17 CHAIRMAN RICE: Oh, okay, no problem. 18 have no mandate to speak. 19 20

FEMALE SPEAKER: Roger Gorvick (phonetic).

MR. GORVICK: Yes.

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN RICE: Roger.

MR. GORVICK: I live on Rams Hill Road off Vineyard. I don't want to get into our thing. We'll just stick with yours. I'd like to ask your lawyer a

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
6 7	
8.	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

23

24

25

question since he brought it up about the property owners next to the side of Rockledge.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Of Rockledge.

MR. GORVICK: We'd have the right to file an injunction against Homeland Towers because of easement rights, et cetera, with the road.

Now, I'd like to know is there a homeowners' association on the thing with regard to maintenance on that road? Is there an easement document? Are there covenants and restrictions? What actually goes on there that they would have the basis to do that?

CHAIRMAN RICE: We have all that information in file.

MR. GORVICK: You do.

CHAIRMAN RICE: I don't know if there's covenants, restrictions, but there is a right-of-way (indiscernible).

MR. GORVICK: There must be, I assume, somebody has to pay for maintenance on the road, I assume.

CHAIRMAN RICE: I don't know if there's a homeowners' --

MALE SPEAKER: Yeah, they do.

MR. GORVICK: They do, okay. So assuming that that takes place, do you have an agreement with

the other people?

b e

8 ...

MALE SPEAKER: Not a written one. We've all been neighbors for 40 years. We never really needed that before. That's what living in a small community is all about.

(APPLAUSE)

MR. GORVICK: I'm not arguing -- it just occurred to me listening to the lawyer, your lawyer, that we have such a mess-up where we are that that injunction is coming. I can guarantee Homeland tonight that there will be an injunction filed right away.

This situation that's going on, Nelsonville where we are, has brought out hundreds and hundreds of people. We're not a large community, but one of the things and one of the reasons that we moved up here, my wife and I, is because this is one of the most beautiful places in the world. You can go to Lake Como. You can go anywhere you want to in the world. You're not going to find a nicer pace.

CHAIRMAN RICE: We would agree.

MR. GORVICK: And the fact of the matter is that as members of this community, and I think Richard said it very well, we can't fight with each other. We have to be united. We have to support

each other, and that's what makes this community wonderful. That's why we want to live here. We love the art community. We love the scenic views. We have people that protect us. There are a number of land trust things that -- institutions and whatnot that are buying up land and everything to protect us all and to protect the reason that businesses in Cold Spring and in Nelsonville that the people want to come up here, that they want to live here. We son't want this scarred by things that none of us feel is necessary in the first place. And exactly as Richard said, this is all about money.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Okay.

MR. GORVICK: Make no mistake, and it's David versus Goliath, we are the David, they are the Goliath.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Thank you.

MR. GORVICK: They are Verizon, we are little people, but you know what? You have to say in united and you can't let them bully you and don't let them tell you that you can't deny on this basis and you can't deny on this basis. There are a number of reasons that you could deny, and the courts will uphold you.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Okay, thank you.

(APPLAUSE)

CHAIRMAN RICE: Can you come to the middle?

Maybe your voice will travel down.

MR. CHAPLIN: Hi, John Chaplin (phonetic).

My parents live at 9 Rockledge Road which is immediately to the south, I guess, of the proposed site probably about, I don't know, 302 feet, I guess. I think they said it was more than 300 feet, so it can't be much more than that.

I just have a question about the balloon test. I know they said that the height was 120, and I apologize if this was asked last week. I couldn't make the meeting last week. How tall are the --

One of the things that initially was brought up and brought up several times is the ability for EMS, local EMS to use this tower if it does get approved and installed. How tall are those antennas that go on top of the 110 feet?

CHAIRMAN RICE: Four to five feet, but could be as high as 10 feet, 12 feet. Ron, I don't know if you have --

MALE SPEAKER: I don't, no.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Okay. Well, it was brought up in another meeting. We didn't have a meeting last week, but it was brought up a couple months ago. The

thought was they might be four to five feet, but 1 2 relatively --MR. MERANDO: And from what I understand, 3 they're whip antennas. 4 MR. CHAPLIN: Okay. 5 MR. MARINO: I think that we heard two 6 inches in diameter. 7 Yeah. MR. MERANDO: 8 Okay MR. CHAPLIN: 9 MR. MERANDO: Which pretty much if you stand 10 in the cemetery and look up there, it's going to be 11 really hard to see that antenna on top of that. 12 MR. CHAPLIN: Yeah, but not from 300 feet 13 14 away. MR. MERANDO: No, I'm not saying from 300 15 feet away you're going to see it. 16 MR. CHAPLIN: Yeah, so --17 MR. MERANDO: You definitely will see it. 18 MR. CHAPLIN: So anyway, you know, look I 19 understand Homeland has a right to make money. I get 20 I understand that. A private property owner 21 has the right to do what they want on their property. 22 That's completely within their bailiwick. 23 I just want to respond to the collusion 24 argument that was made. The people that live on

25

Rockledge, we're not saying, oh, boy, I hope you put 1 it at Secra, that would be awesome. We're not saying 2 that, okay. We don't really want it anywhere. 3 echo what Richard said, all right. And I think if we 4 start getting divided like that and those arguments 5 start creeping in, I can tell you where that's going 6 to go. It's not going to be good. So I'll echo 7 Richard's comments and hope that everyone can keep 8 that in mind when they're starting to talk about 9

(APPLAUSE)

things.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FEMALE SPEAKER: Ethan Kerr.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Ethan.

MR. KERR: Good evening, everybody. Thanks for showing up. So I'm here to speak for the little ones who are all asleep right now. I'm kind of surprised and sad that there hasn't been any mention of the school that's very close to this big tower, the (indiscernible) School where my precious little girl goes to school.

There's a number of countries around the world who have ruled it illegal to build cell phone towers and, in fact, even Wi-Fi signals in schools because they recognize the damage and impact electromagnetic radiation has on growing cells. This

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

is not new news. This is eliminated in this country for the reason we see (indiscernible) in front of us here, but yeah, we could bring forth hundreds of peer-reviewed articles in all kinds of publications that demonstrate the impact particularly on growing cells in young people from headaches and earaches and minor things all the way through cancer and leukemia. That is real data that is, you know, (indiscernible) made it, okay. This is not like some far-off stretch, okay.

So the fact that the Town is even considering putting my child's life at risk in this situation is a very, very deep concern of mine, and I imagine if anyone else knows any children, you want them to live in the same beautiful land that we all — that we're here for and not to have and not to have more radiation coming into our lives particularly when we're young and growing.

So I can provide hundreds of peer-reviewed articles. I was a science teacher one day and I actually had some students, middle-schoolers, explore whether or not seeds would germinate around Wi-Fi routers versus away from them, and there was a noticeable impact on the Wi-Fi router on seeds, plant seeds, you-all, okay. So this is real.

We're a little slow. Lots of European countries, France, Switzerland, Italy, are away ahead of us on this and it made the legal limit that they referenced. Hundreds to tens of thousands have gone lower because they recognize the impact of this on cells, particularly human cells, okay, so --

CHAIRMAN RICE: Thank you.

MR. KERR: Just calling it in.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Inank you.

(APPLAUSE)

MR. MARINO: I'd just like to respond to one point, though. We're reviewing this and considering it because we have to, okay. They've made a fair application that meets our requirements and now we are reviewing the project based on our requirements and what our zoning code -- to tell them no, you have to go away before we even get into the process would be wrong, and we are here because this is a process that allows them to come forward as property owners or conditional property owners and make an application, so we're here to listen.

MR. KERR: I respect all processes of the law, and I'm calling in science, okay. That's all I'm saying.

MR. KEELEY: Along the lines with the

studies, would you be able to just -- and, again, it seems like I may have missed a few documents in our 15 inches of paperwork that we've been going through. Can you submit a few of those peer-reviewed articles just to make sure that we have them?

MR. KERR: You know what else? We could do a collective search and add thousands to (indiscernible)? You're going to get more than a couple. I'm sorry, but that's how it work. Thank you, all.

FEMALE SPEAKER: Eliza Nagel.

MS. NAGEL: So why have we chosen to live in Nelsonville and why have we chosen this small town over all the others that are scattered through New York? Well, I know that my family and I chose this village and why we decided to build in Nelsonville. We just built on Healy Road. It's the unique nature of this village, the mountains and the stunning river views. And I understand that I may not be substantial evidence, so I just wanted to call out the New York Times which called us "Small town American with big town views of the Hudson," and USA Today, "The image you'll most readily recall after visiting will be those of the Hudson River and the valley that surrounds it," or Photers (phonetic),

"It's true (indiscernible) peace, may will be the breath-stealing river valley views." So it's not just me shouting this out. I may not be substantial evidence, but how about, you know, the top tourist write-ups in this country.

I saw that orange balloon. It was sitting right in front of the mountains we see from Healy Road. I'm sorry you missed it, but it was right there. It was mocking these buried in our historic cemetery, and we see the courts littered with the bodies of small towns and the battles they've lost, but we refuse to be one of those villages.

I mean, the engineer the tower hired said that this tower will not fill the gap, and I'm sorry, nice try, but you cannot divide us with threats of SEQRA, and I know that you presented all this evidence of SEQRA in the beginning, even though we're not discussing SEQRA, and we see right through you. We are all neighbors here, all of us, we're all neighbors.

Telecom greed will not erase our understanding of the reason we all live here.

MALE SPEAKER: Bravo.

MALE SPEAKER: Here, here.

(APPLAUSE)

I would like --

MS. NAGEL: And we decided that we will not be cowed by your threats, your veiled or unveiled threats of a lawsuit. And I know you don't want to lose to us because then you may lose to others, but we will not give up this fight. And I say this again to you guys, we have your back, you know, say no. We won't give up this fight.

(APPLAUSE AND CHEERING)

FEMALE SPEAKER: Maria Stein-Morrison.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Who's next?

FEMALE SPEAKER: Maria Stein-Morrison.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Maria.

MS. STEIN-MORRISON: Hi. I'm Maria Stein-Morrison. I am the director of the Manitou School and owner of (indiscernible) Inn which houses the Manitou school, which is listed in the National Registry of Historic Places and from which we could see that horrible orange balloon. And it affects our view shed which is something that I will follow up with written record. I did not -- it was not clear to me when I came to this meeting that our participation at this meeting would somehow not hound as part of the record, so I will happily follow up with a letter.

1 CHAIRMAN RICE: I'm not sure what you meant 2 by that. I think it does --3 MS. STEIN-MORRISON: Oh, well, there was some question earlier about having a written record. 4 CHAIRMAN RICE: Yeah, it's all on the record 5 what you're saying right now. 6 7 MS. STEIN-MORRISON: So this is on the 8 record. Okay, great. CHAIRMAN RICE: Absolutely. 9 10 MS. STEIN-MORRISON: I just wanted to make 11 sure that that was the case. I know that we cannot make a legal argument 12 that the radiation from this tower is sufficient 13 14 grounds to say no, but I want to echo with what was said which is that we know that it's real. We know 15 16 it affects young children. SEQRA is close to having -- Rockledge is 17 close to Manitou. Neither of these are acceptable 18 options to us living here because of that. Even if 19 20 we can't make that legal argument, that is at least a moral and ethical consideration for the Board, that 21 it will affect the growing brains of young children. 22 . From a property owner perspective, it's affecting the 23 nature of my historic property, of my school. People 24

25

come here, they come to Nelsonville, to Cold Spring,

1.8

to Phillipstown, and they come to my school looking for natural beauty, looking for that uniqueness that we have, and we as part of my school community have spent a lot of time, a lot of money, a lot of resources, in maintaining the unique character of the property. And if you have driven by Manitou, you can see that it looks beautiful now. We bought it, we've maintained it, we want it to continue to be beautiful, and having that tower right behind it, which you will be able to see, is going to cut into the esthetic value of our property and the experience that all of us have when being in our property.

Also, a lot has been said about the cemetery, but it's not just about the gatehouse which is also listed in the National Historic Registry or National Registry of Historic Places, but the people who are buried there, and it's not just Julia Butterfield, last year on election day a large group of women from Phillipstown, we dressed in white and we visited the grave of Ida, I'm blanking on her last name now, sorry --

FEMALE SPEAKER: Asophogist (phonetic).

MS. STEIN-MORRISON: -- Asophogist, who was instrumental in getting women the right to vote, and I took my girls from the Manitou School, really young

girls up to middle-schoolers, to that site as an honor and a tribute to these women who have fought for our current rights, and we had an amazing experience in this historical place. That would have been terrible had we had a big cell phone tower looming over us. It would have not been the same.

And so just to preserve the character of the historical nature of our cemetery or town or the things that we value, I also urge you to say no to this application on Rockledge.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Okay, thank you.

(APPLAUSE)

CHAIRMAN RICE: That covers everybody that signed up. Are there people who would like to speak after they've heard -- would like to be heard? Can we start right to left? Yes, sir.

MR. ROSSI: Jeff Rossi, I live at 6
Rockledge Road. I'm going to be affected by this,
obviously, but I just want to let everyone know that
while we're in opposition of the Rockledge site,
we're also in opposition of the SEQRA site. We stand
with you. Thank you, guys.

First of all, what I have here is a number of -- it's all the deeds, all the titles from all the properties on Rockledge, and you can see that various

legal counsel, various properties, various years, every single one addresses it as a right-of-way and not an easement, and that distinction is very important and I really, from the bottom of my heart, appreciate the work that everyone out there has done on this and I want to help empower you with everything you can have to support everything you've heard from the people.

And we've heard the language explicitly says right-of-way. There's not one thing that says easement in here. And for that, you've actually retained legal counsel and have every intention to fight this in a court of law.

## (APPLAUSE)

Beyond that, we have documentation in that

15 inches that you've been handed, and if you don't

think it's 15 inches to hide some of the data, you're

lying to yourself. There are statements in there

that have said explicitly that this is not going to

improve radio frequency in home. This is not going

to improve frequency significantly in a car. So not

only will I have a beautiful line of sight of a cell

phone tower, I will not have better cell phone

signal, so there's an actual fundamental issue with

the site of Rockledge in addition to all of the

1.3

emotional damage you'll be doing in the community.

So I ask that -- we will be working with you as much as we can to provide you all the ammunition we have to end this. And I also give everyone here who's supporting SEQRA, you have my full support, give you the exact same level of support that we have in Rockledge for all you guys. We're in this, man.

(APPLAUSE)

MR. STERLING My name is Steve Sterling. I live on Lane Gate Road. I use Moffitt Road quite frequently. I can say that below Healy Road I've had to back up quite a number of times to let another car come the other way. I've witnessed a car sideswipe the rocks going down on the lower part of it. I can't imagine what kind of highway they have to build to allow the fire department to get up there and fight an industrial-size fire if there were such a thing.

I do want to say Mr. Gaudioso is doing a fabulous job for his client. I think he's doing a terrible disservice to our community.

We were at a meeting Monday night where two pages of misleading statements and misrepresentations in their application for the Phillipstown town towers applications were brought up and verified. We ran

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

estate value dropping. There was a report delivered by Mr. Gaudioso by an independent study only one of which cited Phillipstown, a home in Phillipstown.

There are all other reports that indicate, clearly, and think about it logically, do you want to move next to a cell tower? Nobody really does. Your real estate value goes down. If you have real estate value going down we as residents grieve our tax rates because our real estate value is going down.

Then the taxes have to go up in the community to make the difference.

But I think the real issue that you all have to contend with, and I think you immensely as volunteers having to learn about rocket science to try to deal with all this and keep up with it, is that it's the esthetic question that allows a community to challenge an applicant, and that's the only thing, not radio waves, not damage to children's brains, not any of that. At a federal level, the only reason you now can really challenge an applicant is on the esthetics, and we have a lot of ground to do that.

And I think we're now in a situation, and I think you can tell by the number of people that are

SCHMIEDER & MEISTER, INC.

here and who were actually on the stairs standing 1 outside, we've gone from a community of not in my 2 backyard. A year and a half I've watched eight, nine 3 meetings of different boards in Phillipstown and 4 Nelsonville where we did watch people come in, and 5 I'm on Lane Gate, so I could be between Rockledge and 6 the landfill if that were to happen. And we've gone 7 from not in my backyard to not in my town, and you 8 now see people showing up by the hyndreds at these 9 meetings and you have to take that seriously, and I 10 know you do, and I really appreciate the patience and 11 perseverance that you're all representing and what 12 you're doing. It's not an easy task, and we're all a 13 little stuck. 14

What we have found out is that Homeland

Towers is making quite a number of misstatements and

misrepresentations in the application that

Phillipstown has been reviewing. And there are other

people coming forward, and there was a gentleman who

represented with a knowledgeable person that their

model for determining what is a gap is actually a

flawed computer model and, of course, it's a

favorable computer model.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Okay.

MR. STERLING: Now, who of us can really

24

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

look into that I don't know.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Do you have any comments -- have you reviewed our particular applications on the website?

MR. STERLING: I'm sorry?

CHAIRMAN RICE: Have you reviewed --

MR. STERLING: I've done the best I could to keep up with both, to be honest with you.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Yeah. I mean --

MR. STERLING: It's very complicated. The last point I would like to make, if I may --

CHAIRMAN RICE: Yeah.

MR. STERLING: -- at the end of the day how does Rockledge affect me? It affects me because of Moffitt Road and what may or may not go on there.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Sure.

MR. STERLING: And at the end of the day it is a community-wide problem, not necessarily Rockledge of Secra or Vineyard or wherever it might be. And I think is happening is we've talked about a number of times people raise the question of (indiscernible) systems. A bunch of people, "Oh, it won't work. It's too challenging. It doesn't do this, it doesn't do that." It seems like the same thing people could have said about electricity, "Oh,

\_\_\_

you got to put a pole. You got to run lines to every house." It's a very small community here. What is Nelsonville, one square mile. It's one place where now Ramapo, Silver Spring, Woodbury Commons, a lot of communities are really using distributed antenna systems. Homeland Towers doesn't offer DAS.

I would ask you to invite an applicant who does offer DAS if for no other reason to get a view of what that could be in spite of what the different consultants and different constituents would say about DAS being too challenging, it doesn't work everywhere. This is an ideal place for it in my humble opinion of trying to learn as much as I can about this.

The two things I would ask you to do is invite an applicant or somebody in that world that can deal with DAS. And the other thing is the shock clock can be reset depending on the things that the Applicant is representing or misrepresenting. And I'm not an expert on it either, but I would ask you to get that shock clock reset so that we can all have all the time we need to really look at this as thoroughly as we need to to feel that we can make the case of an esthetic challenge here, not all the other things. And I thank you again for your time.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Okay, thanks.

2

(APPLAUSE)

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN RICE: We'll move across the --

My name is Jason Befour MR. BEFOUR: Sure. (phonetic). I live on (indiscernible) across from the Manitou School. I just want to pick up on what he just said about the shock clock. I could be wrong about this, but my understanding is that it's not a strict rule in the sense that as long as the municipality is looking at the application on a goodfaith basis or if there are special circumstances that perhaps the Village counsel can look into, a court may find that it's not a breach, the Village is not a breach of any shock-clock violation if a challenge is brought in court by the Applicant.

Second, I just want to sort of say to everyone here in spite of some of the compelling arguments being made about radio frequency and some other issues and it was touched upon by the Applicant's counsel, it needs to be part of the written record, if I'm not mistaken, so we can all stand up and make our pitch and impassioned pleas, but if you live at a location that could be impacted by this, whether by property values or esthetically, you have to get an expert, get a report, get it in

2

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

writing, and get it submitted to the record. certain that the Village will allow the people here tonight to make those submissions or at least you'll give them time to do so so that it is part of the written record and that it's duly considered.

> CHAIRMAN RICE: Thank you.

(APPLAUSE)

CHAIRMAN RICE:

Hi, my name is Ethan Timm MR. TIMM: I live in Cold Spring, and I think based (phonetic). upon a lot of people's recommendations, I think I want to talk specifically about the esthetic question.

I was surprised to realize that when working on the local waterfront revitalization plan that really from the State's perspective, the waterfront really runs from the river all the way up to Route 9. And I think that similarly to the way that, as Richard pointed out, that when we're talking about esthetics in this region, the balloon test, photographs are, to me, essentially laughable. Ι mean, they're -- you can always kind of stage something like that. And, of course, from a close perspective you don't see the tower, but when you -and as some people have pointed out, when you see

iconic photos of this region, we're not talking about up-close views, can I see the tower? We're talking

CHAIRMAN RICE: You can't, you're right.

MR. TIMM: We're talking about expansive use. We're talking about hiking up Bull Hill and seeing the village stretch out before you.

First of all, I think -- and also, I want to point out I think it's unconscionable that a neighbor said she didn't know that there was a test. People said, "Well, it was in the paper, tough luck," I mean, there -- we had an application just right here down the street on Cedar Street, we had to give notification to the affected neighbors, written notification, you know. They get it and they're on their door. So to say, "Well, it was in the paper, tough luck --"

(APPLAUSE)

CHAIRMAN RICE: It was advertised, yes.

MR. TIMM: There needs to be another balloon test or something -- it seems ridiculous in this age we're doing a balloon test. I mean, that's like what is this, like 1890?

CHAIRMAN RICE: We've also done (indiscernible).

...8

25 CHAIRMAN RICE: Yes, sir.

MR. TIMM: Second of all, if there's another balloon test, people need to be notified of that, and there needs to be people like up in significant view sheds, like I'm talking about on Bull Hill, we have thousands of hikers coming up to Bull Hill and to Great Neck. They're going to be staring down at this ridiculous frankenpine (sic), and they're going to say, "Why do I even come here?" I hear they're going to go somewhere else and will go somewhere else.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Thank you.

(APPLAUSE)

MR. KEELEY: Just one brief comment in the closing remarks from the Applicant. Can you speak to, along those lines, can you speak to the (indiscernible) management zone and if that's considered a special planning district, in your opinion. And we'll also ask the Village attorney after the fact the same, and then also for the Applicant, just curiosity in your closing remarks. I'm not asking for comments now.

Can you also let me know if you've submitted as part of the environmental notification process for the registration of antenna structures, your FCC Form 854?

1	MR. LOO: David Loo (phonetic), 32 Crown
2	Street. I'd just like to second the request for a
3 1	higher standard of notification.
4	CHAIRMAN RICE: Just try to speak up if you
5	can, higher standard of notification.
6	MR. LOO: Advertising in the local paper is
7	not reaching that many people, so I'm asking that
8	you
9	CHAIBMAN RICE: We advertise in two papers.
10	FEMALE SPEAKER: It's not (indiscernible) on
11	everyone's front door. It's a small community.
12	MR. LOO: Who would do this?
13	FEMALE SPEAKER: Hm?
14	MR. LOO: Who would do (indiscernible)?
15	FEMALE SPEAKER: Our neighborhood did that,
16	the (indiscernible). They put all the details
17	(indiscernible) in everybody's mailbox.
18	FEMALE SPEAKER: You're not allowed to do
19	that. It's against the law.
20	(MULTIPLE SPEAKERS)
21	FEMALE SPEAKER: They didn't put it in the
22	mailbox. It was handed to me.
23	CHAIRMAN RICE: All right. So anyway,
24	that's a good point. More notification.
25	FEMALE SPEAKER: I don't know what else we

can do.

CHAIRMAN RICE: We did think that the two papers was sufficient notification as required by law, and by social media we thought that word would get out, but -- even better.

FEMALE SPEAKER: Excuse me.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Yeah. Hold on one second. You done, sir?

R. LOO: Yes, I am. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Yes.

MS. O'NEIL: My name is Frances O'Neil, and I've been heading the Save the Cold Spring Cemetery. It's been on Facebook, the Cold Spring Cemetery Facebook site, the Phillipstown multiple Facebook site. What's the other one? Find another cell phone option Face site, so it's been on all three. If everybody had been at the meetings -- I'm so glad to see a big turnout now. We had a very small turnout in the past. It was mentioned there. It's been in the newspapers, so I just want to make it known that it has been on Facebook all over the place for several days. So short of that, I don't know what else we can do.

(APPLAUSE)

CHAIRMAN RICE: Okay. Any other -- yes,

ma'am.

MS. HOPKINS: Before you close the speaking portion from the public -- oh, sorry, my name is Stephanie Hopkins. I live (indiscernible) Cold Spring. I wanted to thank you very much for the deliberation that you (indiscernible).

(APPLAUSE)

MS. HOPKINS: (Indiscernible) people are angry, you know, and it puts you -- we're only a group (indiscernible).

But before you said no more comments from the floor, I just wanted to remind you that we are all substantial evidence and Ms. Nagel pointed out --compared herself to the question of whether or not she was. I think she is. Thank you for your (indiscernible). All of us are. And I would challenge you, sir, to the attorney representing the Applicant, if you think an individual testimony is insubstantial, then I would say (indiscernible).

But I want to remind you because I know he's going to get up and he's a little (indiscernible) as I am, and he will say a lot. You will ask him a question and he -- I thought he was pretty -- it was an interesting response to your question, Chairman Marino, about (indiscernible) gap. And I found it to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

be (indiscernible), not that I want him to go on any further. I know based on what was explained that, one, it's really when I say "gap," it's not a signal. (Indiscernible) I would echo the remarks of Supervisor Shea and (indiscernible) about it being a financial matter.

And I wanted to address when the teacher from (indiscernible) -- sorry, she (indiscernible) herself, and said that this was -- she has a business, you know. Never you mind about your service. Never you mind about your service. We have service here. We have children being educated. There has to be equal weight given, and I would just, you know, I would encourage you to be very skeptical and have a very -- cast a very jaundice eye on the application because it has been clear to you that the burden of proving the Applicant not sort of entitled to (indiscernible), it falls on you, which I think is a really rubbish sort of (indiscernible).

CHAIRMAN RICE: I think the burden lies on the Applicant.

MS. O'NEIL: Okay. Well, okay. (indiscernible), and I'm here to say that I --(indiscernible) to my experience (indiscernible), the sweeping views that Ethan referred to, that's why I

love it here. I'm not an old-timer, a long-timer -CHAIRMAN RICE: Sure.

MS. O'NEIL: -- but I love it, and there are people who have -- grown-up people who have stayed here because it's beautiful. And so I think a lot of us share that.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Okay, thank you.

MS. O'NEIL: Thank you very much.

(APPLAUSE)

CHAIRMAN RICE: Three more?

MS. NEWELLAN: Hi, I'm Caroline Newellan (phonetic). I live in Nelsonville at 308 Main Street, and I spoke at the last meeting. I already said most of my thoughts here, but as I've been explaining this to my children and remembering when I was growing up, I grew up in a nice community and I remember my parents hosting neighborhood meetings at their house, going to meetings like this. I remember my parents explaining to me the zoning was so that we would have residential areas and residential areas and we would have factories, which we need, in areas that were more commercial. And that's what zoning was, is to make it, all the places work together in concert in a way that works best for those in the community.

And I really thank you all because we all see how much work this is. I know someone who's just recently gotten involved and blogged down by how much work it is, and I'm not doing much compared to you guys, but I think this is what zoning is for. And you can look at the letter of the law and you have to, and I thank you that you do, but I think deep in our hearts we all know that the reason people volunteer for zoning boards and other sorts of community service, public service, is because they love where they live.

And I do resent having to prove that a giant cell tower would ruin the view or detract from my property value. That's obvious. Why should I have to prove it? Why should I have to pay someone to come assess what the tax value of my house will be later and take my time to do that? I don't -- that's, you know, that's a burden. It's falling onto everyone in this room so we can get these bullies, you know. They're going to come here and tell you "You lose, you lose, you lose."

I am begging you to take up their dare.

We've got your back. Worse-case scenario, they win

and we get the towers, but at least we'll have come

together. We'll all thank you, you know. The worst-

Proceedings case scenario, you know, is that at least we'll know 1 2 we tried because we're going to lose (indiscernible). 3 (APPLAUSE) MALE SPEAKER: Can I amend my comment again? 4 5 CHAIRMAN RICE: You won't be speaking again, but we're kind of wrapping things up. We have people 6 7 that haven't spoken who will speak. 8 MR. MCCARTHY: Dave McCarthy. CHAIRMAN RICE: Dave. 9 MR. MCCARTHY: 56 Main Street, Nelsonville. 10 11 12 13

Eight volunteers here, hundreds of neighbors, lot less worried about our property value, worried about each other, worried about our kids, worried about your community. Eight guys in suits. They're rich. They're going to be rich whether that tower goes in or not. I would be remiss not to ask the property owner, please, we're your neighbors. We care about you. We don't want this tower. Work with us, talk with us. We're a community. We shouldn't fold to Whatever you need let's talk about it and we'll try to make it happen. We really don't want this here.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Thank you.

(APPLAUSE)

LAURA: Hi, my name is Laura. I live in the

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3

4

5

7

9

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

village of Cold Spring on Parrot Street very close to both locations and my child has attended Manitou School (indiscernible) school years and currently attends (indiscernible) elementary school.

I just want to reiterate, you know, some of the more recent comments that have come up describing that the presentation that's made by the lawyers for Homeland needs to be called into question. the evidence that they present to you, and I understand it's a really tough job. There's inches and inches of paperwork I can't even imagine going through them. Just on the point of these visual renderings that they keep referring to as sort of doctrine, visual renderings, computer models, are abstractions. They're not real. They're marketing tools, and I think we really as a planning board need to be -- or as a community we should all be aware of that. The balloon test definitely has its issues. It's not hard science, so visual renderings, whether it's a computer model or a balloon test, it's not science and shouldn't be taken as science. And I think that just reiterates -- sort of speaks to a lot of the other evidence that people are talking about that needs to be called into question. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Thank you.

(APPLAUSE)

2

3

4

6

5

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN RICE: Yes, sir.

MR. HARRIS: My name is Bevin Harris. I live along 61 Moffitt Road, so I'm a stone's throw, sort of the southwest corner of that property for the proposed cell tower.

I don't need to tell you why I'm against it as well, and some of you heard me state it before, but like dirt roads - I grew up in Brooklyn. I know the city. I try to get away from the tall steel towers, and I've been here for 20 years. I like dirt roads. I like tall buildings. I don't necessarily want to live next to the tall buildings which is why I live here. And I definitely don't need a reminder when I look out my back door to see the tower looking over me.

The other part is we need to stay together as a community. There's divisiveness around the world right now and within our country. There are court cases, pulled up one, Cellco Partnership versus Russell, where the court was persuaded by unique circumstances surrounding the moratorium, particularly the fact that scenic mountainous and rural county derives substantial income for tourism. So there are cases out there that support our

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

situation.

2 And in regards to the need for more cell service, I look across the river, and not that I want 3 to deface Storm King, but I think, okay, what about a 4 tower there that I don't know the range necessarily, 5 but could that support any -- if we had needs for a 6 loss of cell service that that be a consideration. 7 It still provides services for us, but it doesn't --8 it's not defacing the community in the way that we're 9

addressing now, so that's --

And I will happily pull together court cases to support it, so we understand like this is not the first time and, I mean, Connecticut has got a moratorium going on with cell towers, you know, the rife with it, so just stating --

everyone to really read the case law. Those are the facts, case laws on the internet. You can read it, and those are the facts, what Robert's talking about, both Roberts, the Village attorney, the Applicant's attorney. Case law is case law and you can read it. It's in black and white. You can make a tally sheet of who wins and who loses. There's no question about it. Read it and you'll be as smart as any attorney.

MR. MARINO: Someone in their infinite

wisdom in the past put cell towers as an allowable use in certain zones in the Village of Nelsonville with a special permit, so that's why we're dealing with this. It's in the zoning now so we have go to through the process.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Yeah, there's no mystery to this. Read it on the internet and it sounds like everybody is. That's why you're here, but if you want to find out what the law says, read about it.

MR. HARRIS: Understood.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Just what you're suggesting, working up what you said, you're doing it and you found a case in your favor. That's what you need to do to get -- if you want to get together and everyone talk about the substantial evidence that they need or the Board needs.

MR. HARRIS: I don't know what the end-all decision is --

CHAIRMAN RICE: Sure, right.

MR. HARRIS: -- that you, the Board, needs. I think we need to recognize that we, as a community, need to determine that. It's not, you know --

CHAIRMAN RICE: I think that, too, yes.

MR. HARRIS: I mean, as a member of the community I think that's the most important part.

SCHMIEDER & MEISTER, INC. (845) 452-1988

## Proceedings

1	CHAIRMAN RICE: We agree, yes, yes. It's
2	all out there.
3	We have one last question or no, we don't.
4	We have a follow-up question.
5	MR. REVKIN: I think it's important to amend
6	my comments.
7	CHAIRMAN RICE: You want to amend your
8	comment?
9	MR. REVKIN: Very quickly.
10	CHAIRMAN RICE: A comment about collusion?
11	We appreciate that.
12	MR. REVKIN: No, no.
13	(LAUGHTER)
14	MR. MERANDO: If you're doing to do that, I
15	don't want you to speak. If you're going to accuse
16	me of being in collusion
17	MR. REVKIN: No, no.
18	MR. MERANDO: I'm very insulted about what
19	you said. I'm very insulted.
20	MR. REVKIN: Nothing I said was about you.
21	Nothing I said was about you. It was the whole
22	Board.
23	CHAIRMAN RICE: Thank you for clarifying the
24	record.
25	MR. REVKIN: No, no, no, you

misunderstood.

(indiscernible).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. MERANDO: Okay.

MR. REVKIN: I was speaking in

CHAIRMAN RICE: Could you clarify it then for the record?

MR. REVKIN: Yes. For the record, for the record, okay, and I'm only speaking loudly so people can hear.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Yes, certainly.

MALE SPEAKER: First of all, I love everyone in the room. The fact that you're here means this is important.

I was saying that this -- now that the two Secra site is part of your decision-making process, which it is, it seems that it's sensible for you to have access to correspondents between the company and the Village to be sure there's integrity there --

CHAIRMAN RICE: We were going to bring that up. Robert is going to address that.

MR. REVKIN: -- to avoid the appearance or even, you know, to avoid any questions about --

CHAIRMAN RICE: I would assume our Village attorney is representing the Village in that negotiation.

1	MR. REVKIN: I know, but I was not
2	talking about you at all, and I'm not even talking
3	about the Village trustees. I'm saying it's in
4	everyone's interest here, particularly the company,
5	to avoid even an appearance of anything like that.
6	CHAIRMAN RICE: A conflict of interest,
7	exactly.
8	MR. REVKIN: So transparency
9	CHAIRMAN RICE: We have been extremely
LO	transparent. As you can see, everything is on the
11	website.
L2	MR. REVKIN: And, you know, I'm a
L3	journalist. I work at ProPublica and my job is
L4	investigative reporting. I don't believe anybody on
L5	the surface.
L6	CHAIRMAN RICE: We understand.
L7	MR. REVKIN: So I have that kind of like
L8'	instinct, but this is a community I care about a lot,
L9	and that was a hypothetical.
0.5	CHAIRMAN RICE: Okay.
21	MR. REVKIN: But transparency is important.
22	CHAIRMAN RICE: It is, and that's what we've
23 .	been, I guarantee it.
24	MR. REVKIN: That's all I meant to say.
25	CHAIRMAN RICE: Okay. Thank you for

clarifying that.

(APPLAUSE)

CHAIRMAN RICE: Anybody else before -- I'd like to give the Applicant -- do we want to summarize? We'll let the Applicant --

MR. MARINO: If they want to respond to any particular points, but --

MR. GAUDIOSO: I want to just keep it real breef. There are a few things that I just have to correct, a couple questions that were asked.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Yes.

MR. GAUDIOSO: I think it's important to just address them.

There was a statement that was attributed to me by Mr. Axelrod that if I gave the impression that the property had to be contemplated for a cell tower at the time of the right-of-way, that is not what I said and I want to make that clear, just that it was contemplated as a buildable lot, just to clarify that.

Going back to the discussion about significant gap --

MALE SPEAKER: Can you speak louder, please?

CHAIRMAN RICE: Yes. They can't quite hear

25 you back there.

22

23

24

MR. GAUDIOSO: Sure. Going back to significant gap, all of that case law, I was not threatening with that. I was asked specific questions about that. I was giving you the case law, what it says.

One thing I did overlook, all of that case law is based on Section 332(c), which I mentioned before, and that goes specifically to your point.

There's also Section 253 of the Telecommunications Act which, again, restricts municipalities from prohibiting any telecommunications, so whether it's under 332(c) and the question of whether it's data or whether it's telephone, all telecommunications may not be prohibited under Section 253. I think that's important.

Regarding the lease, the lease is a matter of public record. If someone wants to ask the Village Board and they want to -- pursuant to a FOIL request, we have no objection to that. We did not submit it under any type of limitation from FOIL.

And just to put in perspective, at one of the very first meetings this Board complied with its duties and asked us to look at alternative sites.

25 spoke to the Village Board who said they may have

~ ~

some alternatives. They offered three, okay. One was a piece of OSI property that they responded back that -- when I say OSI, I guess it's Hudson Highlands. I was little unclear about this. There's a small piece of property and, apparently, the lawyer said that it couldn't be used for this type of use.

The second one -- so we never pursued it any further. The second one was 50 Fishkill Road, and we've submitted from a technical standpoint and showed you that that does not work from a technical standpoint.

The third was 2 Secra Street, again the

Village brought us to that as a potential

alternative. We would have been -- we would not have
been acting in good faith if we didn't look at it as
a potential alternative, which we did. We screened
it from a technical standpoint, showed you that from
a technical standpoint it worked. We told you in my
letter specifically that we made a good-faith lease
offer to the Village. The Village trustees are
undergoing reasonable and proper due diligence. They
held a public hearing on the issue and they're
contemplating whether in their discretion, as the
property owners, whether they should lease it or not.
And I think that is the exact right process, both on

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

our side, on your side in telling us to look for alternatives, and particularly the Village Board's side in investigating it.

No decisions have been made. If a decision is made, we will present those facts and that evidence to you as well. So that's been completely transparent across the board, and I want to make that perfectly clear.

We also looked at the Sanders property. We actually investigated it. We called Mr. Saunders. We appreciate his interest. Mr. Vicente --

CHAIRMAN RICE: Mr. Saunders is right here in the room.

MR. GAUDIOSO: Yes. Mr. Vicente looked it -- I'm sorry, Mr. Xavier looked at it personally. From a zoning standpoint, it's not in a permitted zone, so if for some reason this Board felt that a use variance is better than a special permit and wanted us to investigate it further, we would, but under the code it's not in a permitted zone. It's in a commercial zone which, in your code, is not permitted. So that's why we haven't pursued that any further at this point.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Is it up to the Board to make -- to ask you to do that or (indiscernible)?

MR. GAUDIOSO: Well, we're telling you that it requires the use variance and, therefore, we don't think it's a feasible alternative, so --

CHAIRMAN RICE: It's an extremely difficult thing to achieve.

MR. GAUDIOSO: So if for some reason in the Board's deliberations you said you wanted more information on it, we would provide that.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Okay.

MR. GAUDIOSO: The setback to schools is really an important point. There is no setback to schools. There is no setback to schools in your Village code. There's no setbacks to schools in state law or in federal law. The only cases that I've seen that have gone to court were a municipality had a setback to school, to a school, it was struck down, okay. Nevertheless, we understand the issue is very important, and it's a matter of perception, but the science is just the opposite.

We went to the Haldane School the other day for the Village Board meeting and there was a Wi-Fi access point right in the auditorium that we were sitting in. To think that somehow schools do not have wireless service and similar type of uses is naïve. That's not the case in the 21st Century.

22.

That's what the children learn on, notepads, computers that are laptops. These are in schools already, so you have to separate the reality from, I think, the perception.

There was a statement by Mr. Rossi which I want to correct for the record. He said our documents say that the site will not significant improve in home or in car service. It's simply not take. The documents do not say that. In fact, your own consultant supports that in his reports.

Mr. Sterling, who does not live in

Nelsonville, made a statement which I think was

reckless and incorrect. He said that there were two

pages of misstatements by I think myself and my

applicant in another application and alluded to the

fact that we had misleading statements in this

application. Again, without any type of specifics, I

can tell you that's just simply not correct. If he

has specifics of what might be misleading in this

application, we'd be happy to hear it. If there is a

mistake somewhere, we would be happy to correct it,

but we don't believe that's the case.

Regarding the coastal zone planning, I don't know the answer. It was my understanding that Nelsonville does not have an LWRP. I would have to

double -- is that correct? 1 2 MR. KEELEY: I believe it does not. 3 MR. GAUDIOSO: Okay. So that would be my 4 answer, it does not have an LWRP. I don't believe 5 then an LWRP would apply to this particular site. 6 That's my understanding. If someone has a different 7 opinion, I'd be happy to research it further. 8 MR. KEELEY: We just need your opinion. 9 Thank you. 10 MR. GAUDIOSO: Sure. The Form 854, that 11 happens at the time of construction, so that wouldn't 12 have happened at this stage. 13 MR. KEELEY: And that requires a 30-day 14 comment period? MALE SPEAKER: I don't believe it does. 15 16 MR. GAUDIOSO: I don't believe so. 17 Finally, I appear in front of a lot of 18 boards. I want to thank you for your 19 professionalism, particularly the Chairs, but all the Board members, the courtesy extended to us. 20 appreciate that. Thank you tonight. 21 22 CHAIRMAN RICE: Thank you. 23 (APPLAUSE) 24 CHAIRMAN RICE: Okay, next step. 25 MR. MARINO: I would suggest, William, that

1	since there's still information that has been
2	requested, information that has just come in tonight
3	that we haven't really had a chance this afternoon
4	and tonight that we haven't really had a chance to
5	review yet, that we adjourn the public hearing for
6	the time being to a date certain. We'll have to
7	figure out what date that will be in order to
8	CHAIRMAN RICE: (Indiscernible) two weeks, I
9	think
10	MR. MARINO: If we can do it in two weeks,
11	two weeks from tonight, that's a possibility.
12	CHAIRMAN RICE: We'd like to leave here, and
13	I know we can't, we'd like to leave here with the
14	date somewhat locked in. What actually is that date,
15	December
16	FEMALE SPEAKER: The 29th?
17	MS. CLEMENTS: I can't be here on the 29th.
18	CHAIRMAN RICE: Oh, no, I can't either. I
19	have a meeting on the 29th.
20	MR. MARINO: Okay. How about the
21	FEMALE SPEAKER: 30th? The 1st?
22	CHAIRMAN RICE: Okay, so I mean, the
23	objective would be to have the meeting when you
1	

MR. MARINO: Continue the public hearing.

CHAIRMAN RICE: Oh, continue the public hearing. Leave the public hearing open and continue -- and adjourn this public hearing until another date to --

MR. KEELEY: Can I ask one more thing before we do that?

MR. MARINO: Yes.

MR. KEELEY: I'm sorry. At the last public hearing, we asked the Applicant to not submit documents the day before, the day of. We've been experiencing document dumps every single meeting we've had. We've been getting significant amounts of paperwork the day of or the day prior. That's very challenging.

At the last meeting we discussed submitting things a week in advance and yet we still got more documents yesterday and today. Can you please submit them a week ahead of time at least? I know that it's going to be particularly tight for this next one, but just do your -- please do that (indiscernible).

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  GAUDIOSO: We appreciate your concern. The things we --

(BOTH SPEAKING AT THE SAME TIME)

MR. KEELEY: It's challenging for us.

MR. GAUDIOSO: No, we totally appreciate

tonight. I haven't even looked at them yet.

MR. MEDRANO: These are documents we got

24

1	MR. MARINO: Mr. (indiscernible), do we need
2	to make a motion now to continue leave the public
3	hearing open, adjourn?
4	MALE SPEAKER: I would make a motion to
5	MR. MARINO: Do both Boards have to make
6	their separate motions?
7	MALE SPEAKER: You can do it jointly, I
8	mean, it's a joint meeting.
9	MALA SPEAKER: If it's possible to pack a
10	date tonight while everybody is here and then the
11	public is here, too.
12	MR. MARINO: I would prefer that.
13	MALE SPEAKER: be better for me, too.
14	CHAIRMAN RICE: So we're looking at we
15	want Peggy to be here, but there is a date that
16	MALE SPEAKER: How much notice do we have to
17	give?
18	CHAIRMAN RICE: We'd like to give a week's
19	notice.
20	MALE SPEAKER: You could do it tonight.
21	MR. MARINO: We'd like to do it tonight
22	while the public is here, if it's possible.
23	CHAIRMAN RICE: Yeah, so hang on for one
24	minute. We'd like to maybe lock in a date that we
25	can meet again and wrap this up one way or another.

# Proceedings

1	MR. MARINO: How does the 29th sound,
2	Wednesday, the 29th?
3	MS. CLEMENTS: If it's a Wednesday night, I
4	have a commitment that I can't break.
5	FEMALE SPEAKER: The 30th?
6	MR. MARINO: Thursday, the 30th?
7	MR. GAUDIOSO: The 30th I can't be here. I
8,	could have one of my partners be here.
مور بان مور بان	CHAIRMAN RICE: How about Tuesday, the 28th?
10	MR. GAUDIOSO: I could have a partner be
11	here.
12	MALE SPEAKER: I cannot make the 30th.
13	CHAIRMAN RICE: You can't make the 30th?
14	Let us go back to the 28th.
15	FEMALE SPEAKER: The 28th?
16	MALE SPEAKER: 28th, Tuesday.
17	MR. MARINO: I'm good for the 28th.
18	MALE SPEAKER: The 28th is a Tuesday?
19	FEMALE SPEAKER: Yeah.
20	MALE SPEAKER: It's a good day for me. That
21	works.
22	CHAIRMAN RICE: Well, that's a how about
23	you guys? I don't know if we need everybody, but
24	MR. GAUDIOSO: We'll work at the Board's
25	discretion, yeah. Whatever you say is good with us.

### Proceedings

	Proceedings 157
1	CHAIRMAN RICE: Right now we'd like to lock
2	in Tuesday, the 28th, at 8:00, what will be the
3	continuation of the public hearing.
4	MR. MARINO: I guess location will be
5	determined, though. We don't know if this room is
6	available on that
7	FEMALE SPEAKER: Right. I'll have to find
8	out where we would be meeting.
9	CHAIRMAN RICE: you want to try 7:30? Does
10	anybody object to 7:30? I know there's people coming
11	from the City.
12	FEMALE SPEAKER: Can you guys try to meet at
13	Haldane in the auditorium?
14	CHAIRMAN RICE: We don't know where we're
15	going to meet yet.
16	FEMALE SPEAKER: No, no, no. I heard you
17	addressing the location to meet there, and I wanted
18	to (indiscernible).
19	MR. MARINO: Can we plan to have it here and
20	if it's not here it'll be advertised?
21	CHAIRMAN RICE: Yeah. So we'll plan to have
22	it here. If we need bigger crowd, we'll talk about
23	Haldane.

24

MALE SPEAKER: Mr. Chairman, just to clarify

1	not nere, maybe we'll post a sign out front of where
2	it is if it's not here?
3	FEMALE SPEAKER: Yeah, I'll be putting it on
4	the website. I'll be putting it on the Facebook.
5	I'll be putting it in the paper.
6	CHAIRMAN RICE: Once we get the feedback
7	if we're going to be getting people out into the hall
8	again, Haldane is probably
9	MALE SPEAKER: I just want to make sure that
10	everyone understands the date, that's all.
11	CHAIRMAN RICE: All right, 7:30, November
12	28th.
13	MALE SPEAKER: So moved. All in favor?
14	MALE SPEAKER: Motion to adjourn. Pauline,
15	DJ made a motion to adjourn. Sue seconded.
16	FEMALE SPEAKER: Who seconded?
.17	(MULTIPLE SPEAKERS)
18	FEMALE SPEAKER: Did you guys officially
19	vote on the date of the on continuing the public
20	hearing?
21	MALE SPEAKER: 7:30
22	FEMALE SPEAKER: Yeah, I know, I know. I
23	just wanted to be sure that you officially voted on
24	that.
25	MALE SPEAKER: We voted on it, yeah, made a

1 motion.
2
3

MALE SPEAKER: Seconded.

(Whereupon, the proceedings concluded.)

#### CERTIFICATE

I, Gloria Veilleux, certify that the foregoing transcript of proceedings of the Village of Nelsonville Combined Public Hearing held on November 15, 2017, was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Gloria Veilleux

Gloria Veilleux

Schmieder & Meister Court Reporters 82 Washington St. Poughkeepsie, NY 12601

December 14, 2017